DOKUZ EYLÜL UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS (ENGLISH) ECONOMICS (ENGLISH) PROGRAM MASTER'S THESIS

DETERMINANTS OF INSURANCE DEMAND: CROSS-COUNTRY PANEL DATA ANALYSIS

Hasan ÇELİK

Supervisor Prof. Dr. Evrim TURGUTLU

İZMİR - 2018

DOKUZ EYLÜL UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS (ENGLISH) ECONOMICS (ENGLISH) PROGRAM MASTER'S THESIS

DETERMINANTS OF INSURANCE DEMAND: CROSS-COUNTRY PANEL DATA ANALYSIS

Hasan ÇELİK

Supervisor Prof. Dr. Evrim TURGUTLU

İZMİR - 2018

MASTER THESIS/PROJECT APPROVAL PAGE

University : Dokuz Eylül University

Graduate School : Graduate School of Social Sciences

Name and Surname : Hasan Çelik

Title of Thesis : Determinants of Insurance Demand: Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis

Defence Date : 11.07.2018

Supervisor : Prof.Dr.Evrim TURGUTLU

EXAMINING COMMITTE MEMBERS

Title, Name and Surname <u>University</u> <u>Signature</u>

Prof.Dr.Evrim TURGUTLU DOKUZ EYLUL UNIVERSITY

Prof.Dr.Pınar Narin EMİRHAN DOKUZ EYLUL UNIVERSITY

Assoc Prof.Dr.Hasan Ergin DURAN IZMIR ENSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

120111102001

Unanimity (×)
Majority of votes ()

The thesis titled as "Determinants of Insurance Demand: Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis" prepared and presented by Hasan Çelikis accepted and approved.

Prof. Dr. Metin ARIKAN Director

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this master's thesis titled as "Determinants of Insurance Demand: Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis" has been written by myself in accordance with the academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that all materials benefited in this thesis consist of the mentioned resources in the reference list. I verify all these with my honour.

Date

.../.../....

Hasan ÇELİK

Signature

ABSTRACT

Master's Thesis

Determinants of Insurance Demand:

Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis

Hasan ÇELİK

Dokuz Eylül University
Graduate School of Social Sciences
Department of Economics (English)
Economics (English) Program

The demand of life and non-life insurance is analyzed in the study. The study covers 1996-2014 period for life insurance and 1996-2015 period for non-life insurance. Insurance premium data are utilized from Swiss Re. Data of other variables are imported from World Bank. Research question is based on "Are there any significant differences between insurance demand patterns of developed and developing countries?" Static panel data analysis method is used to analyze the effects of variables on the insurance demand. 26 developed countries and 36 developing countries, also 33 high income countries and 29 middle income countries are selected for the study. The results imply that both life and non-life insurance have positive income elasticity of demand. The findings of the study also provide evidence towards the positive impact of female labor force participation on insurance demand.

Keywords: Life Insurance Demand, Non-Life Insurance Demand, Macroeconomic and Financial Factors, Demographic Factors, Development Factors, Static Panel Data Analysis.

ÖZET

Yüksek Lisans Tezi Sigorta Sektörünün Belirleyenleri: Ülkeler Arası Panel Veri Analizi Hasan ÇELİK

Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü İngilizce İktisat Anabilim Dalı İngilizce İktisat Programı

Çalışmada hayat ve hayat dışı sigortanın talebi analiz edilmiştir. Çalışma, hayat sigortası için 1996-2014 dönemini ve hayat dışı sigorta için 1996-2015 dönemini kapsamaktadır. Sigorta primi verileri Swiss Re şirketinden, diğer değişkenlerin verileri ise Dünya Bankası'ndan alınmıştır. Araştırma sorusu "Gelişmiş ve gelişmekte olan ülkelerin sigorta talep yapısı arasında önemli farklılıklar bulunmakta mıdır?" sorusuna dayanmaktadır. Statik panel veri analizi yöntemi, değişkenlerin sigorta talebi üzerindeki etkisini analiz etmek için kullanılmıştır. Çalışma için 26 gelişmiş ülke ve 36 gelişmekte olan ülke, aynı zamanda 33 yüksek gelirli ülke ve 29 orta gelirli ülke seçilmiştir. Sonuçlar, hayat ve hayat-dışı sigorta talebinin gelir esnekliğinin pozitif olduğunu, özellikle kadın işgücüne katılımının sigorta talebi üzerinde olumlu etkiye sahip olduğunu ortaya koymaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Hayat Sigortası Talebi, Hayat Dışı Sigorta Talebi, Makroekonomik ve Finansal Faktörler, Demografik Faktörler, Kalkınma Faktörleri, Statik Panel Veri Analizi.

DETERMINANTS OF INSURANCE DEMAND: CROSS-COUNTRY PANEL DATA ANALYSIS

CONTENTS

THE	SSIS APPROVAL PAGE	ii
DEC	CLARATION	iii
ABS	TRACT	iv
ÖZE	T T	V
CON	NTENTS	vi
ABB	BREVIATIONS	viii
LIST	T OF TABLES	ix
LIST	Γ OF APPENDICES	X
INTI	RODUCTION	1
	CHAPTER ONE	
	LITERATURE SURVEY	
1.1.	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	4
1.2.	LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND	4
1.3.	NON-LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND	8
	CHAPTER TWO	
	DEFINITIONS, DATA, METHODOLOGY AND HYPOTHESIS	
2.1.	DEFINITIONS AND DATA	10
2.2.	METHODOLOGY	14
2.3.	HYPOTHESIS	14

CHAPTER THREE

EMPIRICAL ANALYSES AND RESULTS

3.1.	LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND	17
	3.1.1. Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries	17
	3.1.2. Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries	20
	3.1.3. Life Insurance Demand for High Income Countries	23
	3.1.4. Life Insurance Demand for Middle Income Countries	26
3.2.	NON-LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND	29
	3.2.1. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries	29
	3.2.2. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries	32
	3.2.3. Non-Life Insurance Demand for High Income Countries	35
	3.2.4. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Middle Income Countries	38
CON	ICLUSION	41
REF	ERENCES	51
APPl	ENDICES	

ABBREVIATIONS

app. Appendix

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GMM Generalized Method of Moments
IMF International Monetary Fund

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OLS Ordinary Least Squares

p. Page Number

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

US United States of America

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Insurance Sectors in Selected Developed and Developing Economies	
(2014 Year)	p. 1
Table 2: Estimated Econometric Models	p. 16
Table 3: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Developed	
Countries	p. 17
Table 4: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Developing	
Countries	p. 20
Table 5: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for High Income	
Countries	p. 23
Table 6: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Middle Income	
Countries	p. 26
Table 7: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developed	
Countries	p. 29
Table 8: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developing	
Countries	p. 32
Table 9: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for High	
Income Countries	p. 35
Table 10: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Middle	
Income Countries	p. 38

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Determinants of Life Insurance Demand	app. p.1
Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies	app. p.6
Appendix 3: Non-Life Insurance Demand Studies	app. p.17
Appendix 4: Descriptive Statistics of Life and Non-life Insurance Demand	
for Developed and Developing Countries	app. p.20
Appendix 5: Descriptive Statistics of Life and Non-life Insurance Demand	
for High-Income and Middle-Income Countries	app. p.21

INTRODUCTION

World economy has been growing since half of the twentieth century. Insurance sector growth rate has been increasing at the same time parallel to this change. As an intermediary of financial sector, insurance is gaining importance for both risk aversion and revenue generation purposes. Insurance has a critical role for financial and reel sectors. This sector gauges economic development through supporting savings and hence investments. Moreover, provision of security against risk and uncertainty, and risk diversion facilities increase the demand for insurance by both firms and households.

Insurance demand follows a different pattern in developed and developing economies. Banking is the dominant and most widely used financial intermediation tool in many of the world economies whereas insurance demand is significantly higher in developed countries. The major financial intermediary in many of the developing economies is banking. Social, cultural and economic differences among these economies are the basic source of financial intermediation preferences. Hence, studying the differences between insurance demand of developed and developing economies and the factors that build these differences become an important research area.

Table 1: Insurance Sectors in Selected Developed and Developing Economies (2014 Year)

	Countries	Premium Density (\$)		Premium Penetration (%)		GDP Per Capita (\$)	GDP Growth Rate	
	-	Life	Non-Life	Life	Non-Life			
	UK	3213	1041	7.51	2.43	37415	3.1	
Davalanad	USA	1534	2137	3.05	4.25	46775	2.6	
Developed Economies	France	2383	1229	6.02	3.10	38161	0.9	
	Spain	654	762	2.39	2.78	27167	1.4	
	Japan	2648	818	7.74	2.39	42799	0.4	
	Brazil	201	190	1.83	1.73	10930	0.5	
Davalanin	Russia	18	147	0.13	1.12	10586	0.7	
Developing Economies		118	101	1.69	1.44	5626	7.3	
	Turkey	17	119	0.15	1.08	12261	5.2	
	Nigeria	2	6	0.09	0.22	2360	6.3	

Source: Sigma Re and World Bank. Premium density is the life insurance premium divided by

population. Premium penetration is the life insurance premium divided by GDP. All data belong to year-end. Data is inflation-adjusted with United States consumer price index base year 2010.

Table 1 provides information about insurance sectors in selected developed and developing economies. Developed countries have improved on both life and non-life insurance for many years in contrast with developing countries. Also, developed countries have comparative advantage on life insurance demand in contrast with non-life insurance demand. On other side, developing countries have comparative advantage on non-life insurance as against life insurance demand.

Economic and demographic factors have critical role in determining insurance demand. The most frequently used economic factors in the literature are GDP per capita, interest rate, and deposit money banks' assets to GDP. Demographic factors vary for life insurance demand and non-life insurance demand. Age dependency ratio, life expectancy, population growth rate, urban population, health expenditure and labor force participation rate are used only to estimate life insurance demand. Urban population and labor force participation rates are used for non-life insurance demand.

This study has basically two research questions: Are there any significant differences between life insurance and non-life insurance demand patterns of developed and developing economies? Second, are there any significant differences between life and non-life insurance demand structures of high income and middle income countries?

This study has three contributions to the existing literature: First, this study covers 26 developed and 36 developing economies and focus on 1996-2015 period. This is the largest most update data set. Moreover, following the World Bank's classification, this study focuses on the differences between the life and non-life insurance demand in high and middle income countries. To the author's bets knowledge this is the first study on insurance demand based on this distinction.

Second, many studies have used same economic and demographic variables, such as GDP per capita, interest rate, urbanization. But, rarely used variable is working woman. This variable measures the importance of female labor force participation on insurance demand. Also, global financial crisis is rarely used. This study also controls the effect of recent global financial crisis on insurance demand.

Third contribution is that different from many existing studies, this study focuses on both life and non-life insurance demand. Moreover, it employs both insurance density and insurance penetration as proxies for insurance demand.

This study is restricted with 19 years for life insurance and 20 years for non-life insurance. Many countries has been eliminated for deficient and discontinuous data and lastly 62 countries remained to estimate well econometric models. Panel data techniques are used to carry out the insurance demand analysis.

The rest of the study is organized as follows: Literature survey is presented in chapter one. Then, chapter two covers definitions, data, methodology and hypothesis. Empirical analysis and results are provided in chapter three. The last chapter is devoted to conclusion.

CHAPTER ONE

LITERATURE SURVEY

1.1. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Theoretical literature in the life insurance demand was first explained by Modigliani and Brumberg (1954). After than, Friedman (1957) formulated permanent income theory, which is that consumption and saving preferences determined future expectation of long term income and also by current income. Households buy insurance for guarantee against future potential income and so they keep stable income and other events during life time.

Yaari (1965) explain life insurance demand via the life cycle hypothesis; which cycle is consisting youth, working life and retirement. Life insurance demand determined with interest rate, wealth, expected income during lifetime, life insurance price and subjective discount rate for current over future consumption according to Yaari (1965).

Pissarides (1980) extend Yaari's study and made another viewpoint to the lifetime income. Bequest and consumption smoothing have analyzed the insurance demand. Then, Karni and Zilcha (1986) add to existing model that calculation of risk aversion.

Campbell (1980), Lewis (1989) and Bernheim (1991) contribute to the theoretical literature for developing the economics of risk and uncertainty. (Sen and Madheswaran 2013:2)

The general approach for insurance demand theory is related to some variables, which are per capita income, financial market structure, interest rate, inflation, income, dependents number, death risk, saving, future and current consumption, regulatory level, religion, cultural and political many differences.

1.2. LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND

Many empirical studies examined various determinant factors of life insurance. Demographic, personal and economic factors have founded to determine this issue using various methodology, period and countries. Then, Hammond et al (1967) examined with household survey data for year 1952 and 1961, separately. They found that income, net worth holdings and stage in the life cycle of the household and education and occupation of the household head are generally determined to be significantly related to premium expenditures.

Beenstock et al (1986) examined OECD countries for 1970-1981 years. They found negative relationship between with Gini coefficient and life insurance demand. Psychographic traits and demographic traits were analyzed by Burnett and Palmer (1984). Negative relationship between insurance demand and Social Security were explained by Levis (1989). Fitzgerald (1987) found that Social Security survivor benefits of the husband are negatively significant with life insurance purchases for husband.

Truett and Truett (1990) analyzed that the relationship between the economic growth and insurance demand for Mexico and USA countries, which covers 1960-1982 and 1964-1979 years separately and respectively. Findings showed that age, education and income impact the demand for life insurance. Bernheim (1991) viewed over wealth and income to research the demand of insurance. However, he found that bequest motive and saving relationship are positive with each other.

Browne and Kim (1993) analyzed for 45 countries, 1980 and 1987 years separately. They found that life insurance positively related with income, dependency ratio, and government spending on Social Security. Inflation, and religion have significantly unfavorable effect on life insurance demand. Gandolfi and Miners (1996) examined woman and man insurance demand patterns. They found that insurance demands of husbands and wives are significantly difference from each other.

Outreville (1996) analyzed for 1986 year and 48 developing countries. There are found that positive relationship between with life insurance development and income, and level of financial development.

Chen et al (2001) researched age and cohort effects for man and woman. Results showed that men have a strong age effect and a strong negative cohort effect, while women have strong positive cohort effect. Ward and Zurbruegg (2002) analyzed the effect of civil rights and political stability on the insurance demand over 1987-1998

years. They showed that improved civil rights and political stability lead to an increase in the consumption of life insurance, both in the Asian and OECD regions.

Hwang and Gao (2003) investigated chinese people demand in terms of income and insurance relationship. The main factors which have influenced people in China to purchase life insurance products are directly associated with the successful economic reform leading people to progress to higher layers of economic security, the increase in the level of education and the change in social structure. Beck and Webb (2003) analyzed that insurance consumption for the 1961-2000 years and 68 countries. This study suggested that even if life insurance was a luxury good, the demand for life insurance would still, not be significantly in influenced by the income distribution. Zietz (2003) and Hussels et al (2005) introduced the literature review the nearly past 50 years for life and non-life insurance demand determinants.

Li et al (2007) examined the relationship with income and insurance demand for OECD countries and over 1993-2000 years. This study resulted that a significant positive income elasticity of demand for life insurance exists and demand is dependent on the number of dependents and level of education but falls with life expectancy and Social Security expenditure. Haiss and Sümegi(2008) researched insurance demand for 29 European countries. They found a larger impact for liability insurance for the New EU Member States from Central and Eastern Europe. Furthermore, findings emphasis the impact of the real interest rate and the level of economic development on the insurance-growth nexus.

Chui and Kwok (2008) researched the effect of individualism. This has significant and positive effect on life insurance consumption; whereas power distance and masculinity or femininity have significantly negative effects.

Zheng et al (2009) studied insurance growth level for 1980-2007 and 95 countries. They found that relative level of insurance growth in developed markets has decreased as compared with in developing markets.

Kakar and Shukla (2010) studied for India insurance demand. They found that both the level of education and occupation of the chief earner of a household are major determinants of life insurance participation, apart from asset-ownership. Further, households that are more optimistic about the adequacy of future income and savings

show higher levels of participation.

Kjosevski (2012) researched demand of insurance for Eastern Europe. Findings showed that real interest rates, ratio of quasi-money, young dependency ratio, old dependency ratio control of corruption and government effectiveness do not appear to be robustly associated with life insurance demand.

Malaysia insurance demand were researched by Mahdzan and Victorian (2013). Findings indicated that savings motives significantly related to life insurance demand.

Lee et al (2013) analyzed risk factors on the life and non-life insurance for 1984-2009 years and 39 countries. This study showed that the income elasticity of insurance demand decreases with the diminish in economic risk in both income groups. Low income countries have elastic demand of insurance demand with increases political risk, whereas high income countries have inelastic demand.

Studies in recent years such as Sen and Madheswaran (2013), Sliwinski et al (2013), Zerriaa and Noubbigh (2016) researched effects of financial markets and instruments.

Alhassan and Biekpe (2016) researched insurance demand for African countries. They found that financial development, health expenditure and institutional quality have positive impacts on life insurance consumption while income, inflation, dependency ratio and life expectancy have negative impacts in life insurance consumption in Africa. Also, Zerriaa and Noubbigh (2016) examined the effect of life insurance demand on Middle East and North Africa countries. Results indicated that the country's level of financial development enhances life insurance sales; whereas Social Security expenditures dampen them. Life expectancy and educational attainment appear to stimulate life insurance demand, whereas young dependency tends to decrease consumption. Urbanization does not appear to influence life insurance demand.

Ondruska et al (2016) made a survey in Slovak Republic. They found that demographic indicators - such as age, education and economic indicators - savings and employment status are the most robust predictors of the life insurance consumption. The understanding of consumers who buy and do not buy private insurance is crucial also for policymakers that could easier target state programs and other forms of Social Security schemes. Lin et al (2017) analyzed Taiwan insurance demand. Study showed

that people with high financial literacy are more likely to purchase life insurance and that consultations with financial advisors and conversations with family members and friends are both positively associated with the demand for life insurance.

Zerriaa et al (2017) researched insurance demand in Tunus. Life insurance demand increases with income and financial development. However, other economic variables such as inflation and interest rate do not seem to influence life insurance consumption in Tunisia. Socio-demographic variables such as dependency, life expectancy at birth and the country's level of urbanization stimulate life insurance demand, while the level of education dampens it.

Dragos et al (2017) examined the effect of government indicators on Europe Countries. They found that the sound legal environment of developed countries, where the level of the rule of law is very homogeneous and very high, makes it non-significant for life insurance demand. For developing countries the enforceability of contracts, the independence of justice and the time efficiency of the judicial process positively influence the decision of citizens to buy life insurance contracts.

Many of the existing studies and their detailed findings are summarized in Appendix 1 - 2.

1.3. NON-LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND

Non-life insurance literature is narrow extensive than life insurance demand literature. The first paper is Beenstock et al (1988). They estimated two models from different datasets, which is first apply for cross-section analysis covering 12 countries, and then apply for 45 countries and 1981 year. They estimated relationships between the property-liability insurance and gross national product, interest rate and income. They found that property-liability insurance is a superior good and is disproportionately represented in economic growth and premiums vary directly with real rates of interest.

Outreville (1990) analyzed that property-liability demand for income and M2/GDP, which samples of 1983, 1984 and cross-section of 55 countries. There are positive correlation with income. The study' result is that the economic importance of the insurance sector is still low when considering the share of total premiums generated in developing countries.

Browne et al (2000) estimated that the relationship between with motor vehicle premium density, general liability premium density and and income for OECD countries over the between 1986 and 1993 years. Economic conditions affect the demand for insurance differently across lines of coverage. In particular, income has a far greater effect on motor vehicle insurance consumption than on general liability insurance consumption. Several factors are important in explaining the purchase of both kinds of insurance. These factors include income, wealth, the percent of a country's insurance market controlled by foreign firms and the form of the legal system in the country.

Study of Esho et al (2004) analyzed 44 countries for 1984-1988 years. The study examined property-liability income and the strength of the property rights in a country. The purchase of property-liability is significantly and positively related to loss probability and income, as well as providing weaker evidence of a negative relationship with price.

Arena (2008) examined for 1976-2004 period and 55 countries. The study found that both life and non-life insurance have a positive and significant causal effect on economic growth. For life insurance, high-income countries drive the results, and for non-life insurance, both high-income and developing countries drive the results.

Zheng et al (2009) studied insurance growth level for 1980-2007 period and 95 countries. They found that relative level of insurance growth in developed markets has decreased as compared with in developing markets.

Millo and Carmeci(2011) researched Italian provinces for demand of non-life insurance. They found a significant and positive influence of income and wealth on insurance consumption, although both elasticities are much less than one. High interest rates are found to depress non-life insurance consumption, arguably by raising the cost of borrowing and thus the opportunity cost of insuring against self-insuring.

Lee et al (2013) examined country risk effects for insurance demand. This paper showed that the income elasticity of insurance demand decreases when country risks diminish. The elasticity diminishes in general when economic risk drops. When political risk is lower, the elasticity decreases in countries with high-income, common law origin, and insurance activities permitted by banks, whereas a clear pattern cannot be identified in the case of financial risk.

Millo (2016) analyzed relationship between non-life insurance demand and income for 1970-2010 years and 95 countries. The evidence of a co-integrating relationship between non-life insurance consumption and income with a coefficient not different from one. This means that world insurance markets tend, on average, to grow in line with the general economy, reacting in a less than proportional way to income shocks in the short run but then reverting to its long-run path according to an error-correction mechanism.

Many of the existing studies and their detailed findings are summarized in Appendix 3.

CHAPTER TWO

DEFINITIONS, DATA, METHODOLOGY AND HYPOTHESIS

2.1. DEFINITIONS AND DATA

The study takes in 1996-2014 period for life insurance demand analysis and 1996-2015 period for non-life insurance demand analysis. Insurance premium data are utilized from $Swiss Re^{-1}$. Data of other variables are imported from World Bank.

Dependent variables are logarithm of life insurance density, logarithm of non-life insurance density, logarithm of life insurance penetration and logarithm of non-life insurance penetration which are estimated separately for measure different effects of independent variables. Different factors which effect the insurance demand are used as independent variables. Macroeconomic, financial, demographic and development factors are selected according to insurance type. However, global financial crisis dummy used to measure that the effect of 2008 and after years on insurance demand.

Independent variables which used to estimate life insurance demand are as follows: GDP per capita and interest rate as macroeconomic variables; deposit money banks' assets to GDP as a financial variable; age dependency ratio, population growth rate and life expectancy as demographic variables; urbanization, labor force participation rate and health expenditure as development variables.

Independent variables which used to estimate non-life insurance demand are as follows: GDP per capita and interest rate as macroeconomic variables; deposit money banks' assets to GDP as a financial variable; urbanization and labor force participation rate as development variables. Age dependency ratio, population growth rate, life expectancy and health expenditure are not used to the estimation of non-life insurance. These are not entirely relevant with non-life insurance demand.

Definitions of variables are as follows:

 Life insurance density is the life insurance premium divided by population. In similar way, non-life insurance density is the non-life insurance premium divided by population. Data is inflation-adjusted with United States consumer price index

¹"Source: Swiss Re, sigma database. All rights reserved."

- base year 2010.
- Life insurance penetration is the life insurance premium divided by GDP. Similarly, non-life insurance penetration is the non-life insurance premium divided by GDP.
- GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. Data is inflation-adjusted with United States consumer price index base year 2010.
- Four different interest rates are used in the study. Definitions of these interest rates are as follows: Government bond interest rate is the borrowing rate issued 10 years debt with government securities by the government. Real interest rate is the lending interest rate adjusted for inflation as measured by the GDP deflator. Lending rate is the bank rate that usually meets the short- and medium-term financing needs of the private sector. Monetary policy interest rate is the rate of buying or selling government bonds by the monetary authority of country. Government bond interest rate is used for developed countries apart from Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary and Romania; whereas real interest rate is used for these except countries. Lending interest rate are used for developing countries except that Turkey. Monetary policy interest rate is used for Turkey.
- Deposit money banks' assets to GDP are claims on domestic real non-financial sector by deposit money banks as a share of GDP.
- Urban population refers to people living in urban areas as defined by national statistical offices.
- Labor force participation rate is the proportion of the female population ages
 15 and older that is economically active to all female who supply labor for the
 production of goods and services during a specified period.
- Health expenditure is the sum of public and private health expenditure. It covers
 the provision of health services (preventive and curative), family planning activities, nutrition activities and emergency aid designated for health but does not
 include provision of water and sanitation.
- Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents (people younger than 15 or older than 64) to the working-age population (those ages 15-64).

- Population growth rate (annual) for year t is the exponential rate of growth of midyear population from year t-1 to t, expressed as a percentage.
- Life expectancy at birth indicates the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life.
- Global financial crisis dummy is numerated with 1 for 2007 and after years, 0 for other years.

Two different classifications are applied for compare that results of groups. First classification is United Nations country classification 2017 year. Other classification is World Bank analytical classification by income 2018 fiscal year. United Nations classification separates countries to developed countries and developing countries. Russian Federation, normally classed in transition countries, classed in developing countries for adapt only two groups in the study. World Bank classification collects countries to four groups, which are low income countries, lower-middle income countries, upper-middle income countries and high income countries. High income countries (GDP per capita 12236 US\$ and more) and middle income countries (GDP per capita between 1006 US\$ and 12235 US\$) are selected to adjust data. Lower-middle income countries to make easier the results.

26 developed countries and 36 developing countries, also 33 high income countries and 29 middle income countries are selected for the study.

In the study, four models are estimated separately for life insurance demand and non-life insurance demand. Therefore, logarithm of life insurance density and logarithm of life insurance penetration are used separately as a dependent variable for estimate life insurance demand. Likewise, logarithm of non-life insurance density and logarithm of non-life insurance penetration are used separately as a dependent variable for estimate non-life insurance demand.

Life insurance density, non-life insurance density, life insurance penetration, non-life insurance penetration and GDP per capita are used with own logarithm in the all models. These logarithms make linearity in the data. So that, dynamics variables ensure for the estimation of elasticities. Past effects of parameters can be observe in

future.

Four models are used to estimate both life insurance demand and non-life insurance demand, separately. *Logarithm of life insurance density* and *logarithm of non-life insurance density* are employed as a dependent variable for life insurance demand and non-life insurance demand, respectively.

First model includes just *loggdppc* for life and non-life insurance demand. Second model contains *loggdppc* and *int* for life and non-life insurance demand. Third model contains *loggdppc*, *int*, *bankgdp*, *urb*, *femlab* for life and non-life insurance demand; however third model contains *health*, *depen*, *pop*, *lifeexp* for only life insurance demand. Fourth model comprise third model plus *crisis* for life and non-life insurance demand.

Description of variables which are used in econometric models are as follows: *logprempc*: Logarithm of life or non-life insurance density (Logarithm of life or non-life insurance premium / population) (constant 2010 US\$)

logpremgdp: Logarithm of life or non-life insurance penetration (Logarithm of life or non-life insurance premium / GDP) (%)

loggdppc: Logarithm of GDP per capita (constant 2010 US\$)

int: Interest rate (Government bonds interest rate, Lending interest rate, Real interest rate, Monetary policy interest rate)

bankgdp: Deposit money banks' assets (% of GDP)

urb: Urban population ratio (% of total population)

femlab: Labor force participation rate of female (% of female population ages 15+)

health: Health expenditure (% of GDP)

depen: Age dependency ratio (% of working-age population)

pop: Population growth rate (annual %)

lifeexp: Life expectancy (years)

crisis: Global financial crisis dummy (2007 and later years=1, other years=0)

2.2. METHODOLOGY

Static panel data method are used to analyze the effects of variables on the insurance demand. "That is why, examine within-country differences to determine whether there are any transitory or persistence effects within the life market from previous life insurance consumption" (Ward and Zurbruegg 2002: 11).

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i,t} x_{i,t} + u_{i,t} \tag{1}$$

where *yit* is a dependent variable, *a t* represents the time-specific intercept, *xit* is a vector of independent variables, *uit* is a scalar disturbance term, *i* indexes country in a cross section and *t* indexes time measured in years (Kjosevski 2012: 6).

The econometric software Stata - 14 used for calculations. Both fixed-effects model and random-effects model are used for estimation. Then, the Hausman test employed to choose between the fixed effects and random effects. Null hypothesis is that accept the random-effects model in Hausman test. Alternative hypothesis is that accept the fixed-effects model in Hausman specification test. The econometric model which is decided hypothesis is used in result tables.

2.3. HYPOTHESIS

Ten hypothesis are tested in the study.

Hypothesis 1: GDP per capita has a positive and significant effect on both life and non-life insurance demand.

Hypothesis 2: Interest rate has a negative impact on insurance demand.

Hypothesis 3: Bank based economies have greater effect on insurance demand.

Hypothesis 4: Urbanization has a positive impact on insurance demand.

Hypothesis 5: Labor force participation rate of female has a positive effect on insurance demand.

Hypothesis 6: There is a trade-off between life insurance demand and health expenditure

Hypothesis 7: Dependency ratio effects negatively life insurance demand.

Hypothesis 8: Population growth has a negative impact on insurance demand.Hypothesis 9: Life expectancy has a positive effect on life insurance demand.Hypothesis 10: The insurance demand had raised after global financial crisis.

CHAPTER THREE

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Tables 3 - 10 present the empirical results. Eight models are estimated separately for two insurance types and four country groups in tables 3 - 10. In tables, eight models are estimated separately for the logarithm of life and non-life insurance density and the logarithm of life and non-life insurance penetration as dependent variable. Each model includes one or more independent variables that explore the relationship with insurance demand.

Table 2: Estimated Econometric Models

Insurance	Dependent	Developed	Developing	High-Income	Middle-Income
Demand Type	Variable	Countries	Countries	Countries	Countries
Life Insurance Demand	logprempc	Table 3	Table 4	Table 5	Table 6
Life insurance Demand	logpremgdp	Table 3	Table 4	Table 5	Table 6
Non-Life Insurance Demand	logprempc	Table 7	Table 8	Table 9	Table 10
Non-Life insurance Demand	logpremgdp	Table 7	Table 8	Table 9	Table 10

Note: loprempc: Logarithm of per capita insurance premium, lopremgdp: Logarithm of ratio that insurance premium to GDP.

3.1. LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND

3.1.1. Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries

Table 3: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries

		logpre	empc		logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	1.21*	1.19*	2.9*	3.1*	.6*	.75*	1.2*	1.1*	
	(4.67)	(4.59)	(14)	(15)	(3.81)	(5.77)	(8.3)	(7.4)	
int		.003**	.004*	.004*		.004*	.004*	.004*	
		(1.99)	(4.5)	(4.6)		(4.16)	(5.5)	(5.5)	
bankgdp			.0009*	*8000			.0005*	.0006*	
			(4)	(3.5)			(2.6)	(2.9)	
urb			003	005			007**	006***	
			(81)	(-1.2)			(-2.1)	(-1.8)	
femlab			.0009	.003			.006	.005	
			(.13)	(.52)			(1.1)	(.84)	
health			.01***	.01			.002	.003	
			(1.8)	(1.6)			(.33)	(.45)	
depen			002	002			0005	0008	
			(73)	(59)			(18)	(28)	
pop			001	002			01	01	
			(14)	(19)			(-1)	(98)	
lifeexp			.11*	.09*			.04*	.05*	
			(16)	(12)			(8.1)	(7.7)	
crisis				.06*				03***	
				(2.8)				(-1.9)	
cons	-2.71**	-2.62**	-19*	-19*	-2.37*	-3.09*	-8.7*	-8.9*	
	(-2.3)	(-2.23)	(-17)	(-16)	(-3.32)	(-5.18)	(-10)	(-11)	
N	494	494	494	494	494	494	494	494	
R-square	0.7386	0.7379	0.7887	0.7926	0.4834	0.4831	0.5379	0.5355	
F-statistics	21.77	12.94	119.48	109.99	14.55				
F-stat d.f.	1,467	2,466	9,459	10,458	1,467				
Hausman	0.0017	0.0061	0.0022	0.0120	0.0330	0.0827	0.9897	0.9923	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Developed countries consist Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain,

Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, and United States.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance density

Left side of table 3 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance density as dependent variable for developed countries.

The effect of GDP per capita is positive on life insurance density. These coefficients are also significant and bigger than one in the overall analysis as expected in hypothesis. This suggest that a higher GDP per capita causes rising life insurance density. Developed countries have income elastic life insurance demand. This means that life insurance is a luxury good for developed countries. Life insurance demand changes a lot when GDP per capita changes. Contrary to expectations, interest rate has positive effect on life insurance density. Meanwhile, the coefficient of interest rate is significant and smaller than one in every regression. This positive coefficient effects investment of insurance companies. They make profit and thereby favorably impact the insurance demand because of higher profit. In the literature, Kjosevski (2012) and Zerriaa et al (2017) found that interest rate positively effects the insurance demand. The coefficient of assets of deposit money banks significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance density.

Urbanization and labor force participation rate of female are statistically insignificant. These variables have no favorable impact on life insurance density. Also, health expenditure is significantly positive on life insurance density in one regression by which coefficient is bigger than one; whereas it is insignificant in another one regression.

Effects of age dependency ratio, and population growth rate are statistically insignificant on life insurance density. As expected in hypothesis, life expectancy has significantly positive impact on life insurance density. These coefficients are bigger than one.

As it is expected in hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on life insurance density. This suggests that the life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance penetration

Right side of table 3 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance penetration as dependent variable for developed countries.

GDP per capita has significantly positive impact on life insurance penetration in the overall analysis as expected in hypothesis. Coefficients are smaller than one in two regressions and bigger than one in other two regressions. There are no accurate income elasticity of life insurance demand for developed countries. Life insurance demand may change more or less when GDP per capita changes. Interest rate has significantly positive effect on life insurance penetration. Also, the coefficient of interest rate is significant and smaller than one in every regression. This is not confirm the hypothesis. But, interest rate effects insurance demand by implicitly. Insurance firms invest with collected money and gain profit. So, high profit rates reflect to insurance purchasing behavior of people. Kjosevski (2012) and Zerriaa et al (2017) found same effect on insurance demand. The coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance penetration which it is expected.

As it is expected in hypothesis, urbanization has significantly positive effect on life insurance penetration by which coefficients are smaller than one. On the other hand, health expenditure and labor force participation rate of female are statistically insignificant.

Effects of age dependency ratio and population growth rate are negatively insignificant on life insurance penetration. However, life expectancy has a significantly positive impact on life insurance penetration as it is expected in hypothesis. Suggest that higher life expectancy raises the life insurance penetration by which coefficient is bigger than one.

Global financial crisis has significantly negative impact on life insurance penetration. This suggests that the life insurance penetration decreased after global financial crisis. This is not support the hypothesis.

3.1.2. Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries

Table 4: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries

		logpr	rempc		logpremgdp			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
loggdppc	1.57*	1.64*	1.1*	1.1*	.14	.12	41*	33*
	(11.4)	(10.2)	(9.1)	(8.6)	(1.27)	(1.11)	(-3.2)	(-2.6)
int		004*	0003	0002		001**	.0008	.0006
		(-7.25)	(6)	(41)		(-2.2)	(1.6)	(1.3)
bankgdp			001**	001**			0004	0004
			(-2.3)	(-2.4)			(91)	(82)
urb			.01*	.01*			.01*	.01*
			(6.9)	(6.6)			(6.6)	(7)
femlab			.01*	.01**			.005	.009**
			(3.1)	(2.4)			(1.3)	(2.1)
health			01***	01***			02*	02*
			(-1.7)	(-1.7)			(-2.9)	(-2.9)
depen			01*	01*			001	004**
			(-7.7)	(-6.2)			(93)	(-2.2)
pop			01**	01*			03*	03*
			(-2.5)	(-2.6)			(-4.9)	(-4.9)
lifeexp			.03*	.02*			.01*	.02*
			(6.5)	(5.2)			(2.9)	(4)
crisis				.05*				07*
				(3.1)				(-3.9)
cons	-4.51*	-4.69*	-5.6*	-5.1*	735***	648	66	-1.4**
	(-8.33)	(-7.52)	(-10)	(-8.8)	(-1.66)	(-1.47)	(-1.2)	(-2.4)
N	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684
R-square	.409	.431	.39	.38	.0274	.0466	.00085	.0036
F-statistics		84.8	123	113			21	21
F-stat d.f.		2,646	9,639	10,638			9,639	10,638
Hausman	0.0779	0.0010	0.0000	0.0019	0.6477	0.0764	0.0000	0.0003

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Developing countries comprise Algeria, Argentina, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Israel, Kenya, Korea Republic, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Nigeria, Oman, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, Singapore, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Uruguay, Venezuela.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance density

Left side of table 4 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance density as dependent variable for developing countries.

As with hypothesis, GDP per capita has significantly positive impact on life insurance density by which coefficient is bigger than one in all regressions. Developing countries have income elastic life insurance demand. This implies that life insurance is a luxury good for developing countries. Life insurance demand changes a lot when GDP per capita changes. However, the effect of interest rate is significantly negative on life insurance density in one regression; whereas it is insignificant in other two regressions. The interest rate coefficient is smaller than one. In the meantime, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly negative and smaller than one on life insurance density. This variable is not same with the hypothesis.

Coefficients of urbanization and labor force participation rate of female are significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance density as such in hypothesis. On the other hand, health expenditure is significantly negative on life insurance density by which coefficients are bigger than one in common with hypothesis.

Just like hypothesis, effects of age dependency ratio and population growth rate are significantly negative on life insurance density. Also, life expectancy has favorable effect on life insurance density as in hypothesis. These coefficients are statistically significance and bigger than one.

Global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on life insurance density as expected in hypothesis. This suggests that the life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance penetration

Right side of table 4 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance penetration as dependent variable for developing countries.

The coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly negative and smaller than one on life insurance penetration in two regressions; whereas it has no significant effect in other two regressions. The income elasticity of life insurance demand is not certain for developing countries. Contrary to hypothesis, the negative coefficient of GDP per capita implies that higher GDP per capita decreases the life insurance penetration. Also,

interest rate is both significantly negative in one regression and insignificant in other two regressions. These coefficients are smaller than one and contrary to expectations. However, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is statistically insignificant on life insurance penetration.

Urbanization has significantly positive effect on life insurance penetration by which coefficients are bigger than one as with hypothesis. Also as expected in hypothesis, health expenditure is significantly negative and bigger than one on life insurance penetration. However, the coefficient of labor force participation rate of female is significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression.

As it is in hypothesis, the coefficient of population growth rate is significantly negative and bigger than one on life insurance penetration. The coefficient of age dependency is significantly negative and smaller than one on life insurance penetration in one regression as expected in hypothesis; whereas it has no significant effect in another one regression. Meanwhile, life expectancy has significant effect on life insurance penetration by which coefficient is bigger than one as with hypothesis.

Contrary to hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly negative impact on life insurance penetration. This suggests that the life insurance penetration decreased after global financial crisis.

3.1.3. Life Insurance Demand for High Income Countries

Table 5: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for High-Income Countries

		logp	rempc		logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	.21	.22	1.2*	1.3*	.06	.13	.33*	.21***	
	(1.28)	(1.36)	(10)	(10)	(1.27)	(1.27)	(3.1)	(1.9)	
int		005*	001***	001		0007	001***	001**	
		(-4.65)	(3.1)	(1.9)		(-2.21)	(-1.7)	(-2.1)	
bankgdp			.001*	.001*			.0009*	.0009*	
			(4.9)	(4.6)			(4.4)	(4.9)	
urb			004	004			002	002	
			(-1.5)	(-1.5)			(-1)	(87)	
femlab			.02*	.02*			.008***	.01**	
			(4.6)	(4.4)			(2)	(2.4)	
health			03*	03*			02*	02*	
			(-3.8)	(-3.9)			(-3.9)	(-3.7)	
depen			002	001			.003**	.003**	
			(-1.3)	(-1.1)			(2.6)	(2.3)	
pop			.01***	.01***			003	002	
			(1.9)	(1.8)			(6)	(4)	
lifeexp			.06*	.06*			.02*	.03*	
			(11)	(8.8)			(4.3)	(5.7)	
crisis				.03**				06*	
				(2.1)				(-3.9)	
cons	1.82**	1.81**	-8.6*	-8.3*	.0618	254	-3.1*	-3.6*	
	(2.41)	(2.44)	(-12)	(-11)	(.124)	(527)	(-4.8)	(-5.5)	
N	627	627	627	627	627	627	627	627	
R-square	.439	.397	.66	.66	.153	.168	.38	.41	
F-statistics	1.65	11.7			.309				
F-stat d.f.	1,593	2,592			1,593				
Hausman	0.0000	0.0001	0.7091	0.7375	0.0239	0.1150	0.4998	0.0805	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

High income countries include Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Belgium, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea Republic, Kuwait, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Oman, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance density

Left side of table 5 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance density as dependent variable for high income countries.

The coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance density in two regressions as expected in hypothesis; whereas it has insignificant impact in two regressions. The income elasticity of life insurance demand is not clear for high income countries. These significant coefficients are bigger than one. However, the effect of interest rate is both significantly negative on life insurance density in two regressions by which coefficients are smaller than one and statistically insignificant in another one regression. Just like hypothesis, interest rate has negative effect on life insurance density. Also, the effect of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive on life insurance density by which coefficients are smaller than one as with hypothesis.

The coefficient of labor force participation rate of female is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance density as expected in hypothesis. However, health expenditure is significantly negative and bigger than one such in hypothesis. Yet, urbanization has no effect on life insurance density.

As it is in hypothesis, the coefficient of population growth rate is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance density. Also, life expectancy has significantly positive effect on life insurance density by which coefficients are bigger than one. This positive effect is same with hypothesis. Yet, age dependency ratio has statistically insignificant effect on life insurance density.

Global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on life insurance density just like hypothesis. This suggests that the life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance penetration

Right side of table 5 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance penetration as dependent variable for high income countries.

As expected in hypothesis, the coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance penetration in two regressions; whereas it has no significant effect in other two regressions. The income elasticity of life insurance

demand is uncertain for high income countries. Also, interest rate is both significantly negative on life insurance penetration in two regressions by which coefficients are smaller than one and statistically insignificant in another one regression. In the meantime, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance penetration as in hypothesis.

Labor force participation rate of female has significantly positive effect on life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. These coefficients are both smaller than one in one regression and bigger than one in another one regression. As with hypothesis, health expenditure has significantly negative effect on life insurance penetration which by coefficient is bigger than one. On the other hand, urbanization has insignificant effect on life insurance penetration.

Like hypothesis, the coefficient of age dependency ratio is significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance penetration. However, life expectancy has significantly positive impact on life insurance penetration with coefficients are bigger than one as with hypothesis. Yet, population growth rate has insignificant effect on life insurance penetration.

Contrary to hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly negative impact on life insurance penetration. This suggests that the life insurance penetration decreased after global financial crisis.

3.1.4. Life Insurance Demand for Middle Income Countries

Table 6: Econometric Models of Life Insurance Demand for Middle-Income Countries

		logpr	empc		logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	2.82*	2.76*	1.1*	1.2*	.34**	.34**	42**	37**	
	(13.1)	(12.9)	(6.4)	(6.8)	(2.34)	(2.35)	(-2.5)	(-2.1)	
int		002*	.001**	.001*		.00009	.002*	.002*	
		(-3.48)	(2.4)	(3)		(.161)	(4.7)	(4.6)	
bankgdp			.002*	.001**			.001**	.001**	
			(3.9)	(2.4)			(2.2)	(2.4)	
urb			.008*	.01*			.01*	.01*	
			(2.6)	(3.3)			(3.8)	(3.9)	
femlab			.007	0004			.001	.002	
			(1.3)	(066)			(.18)	(.37)	
health			.02**	.02*			001	002	
			(2.5)	(2.6)			(19)	(23)	
depen			01*	008*			005**	006**	
			(-5.2)	(-3.1)			(-2.2)	(-2.5)	
pop			01	01			04*	04*	
			(81)	(73)			(-2.8)	(-2.8)	
lifeexp			.04*	.03*			.02*	.02*	
			(6.9)	(5.7)			(4.1)	(4.3)	
crisis				.12*				03	
				(5.1)				(-1.4)	
cons	-9.18*	-8.88*	-5.8*	-6*	-1.57*	-1.58*	8	-1.2	
	(-11.5)	(-11.2)	(-7.3)	(-6.9)	(-2.85)	(-2.85)	(-1)	(-1.4)	
N	551	551	551	551	551	551	551	551	
R-square	.215	.221	.29	.25	.00004	.00006	.002	.0031	
F-statistics	171	93.5		99			23	21	
F-stat d.f.	1,521	2,520		10,512			9,513	10,512	
Hausman	0.0000	0.0000	0.3109	0.0000	0.1848	0.2532	0.0000	0.0004	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Middle income countries comprise Algeria, Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Bulgaria, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Nigeria, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Romania, Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Turkey, Venezuela.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance density

Left side of table 6 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance density as dependent variable for middle income countries.

GDP per capita has significantly positive impact on life insurance density with coefficients are bigger than one as expected in hypothesis. Middle income countries have income elastic life insurance demand. This means that life insurance is a luxury good for middle income countries. Life insurance demand changes more when GDP per capita changes. However, the effect of interest rate is significantly negative on life insurance density in one regression as with hypothesis; whereas it is significantly positive in other two regressions contrary to hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one. This positive coefficient effects investment of insurance companies. They make profit and thereby positively impact the insurance demand because of higher profit. In the meantime, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks has significantly positive and smaller than one on life insurance density as in hypothesis.

Age dependency ratio has significantly negative effect on life insurance density as in hypothesis. These coefficients are both bigger than one in one regression and smaller than one in another one regression. Contrary to hypothesis, health expenditure is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance density. Yet, labor force participation rate of female has statistically insignificant effect on life insurance density.

As expected in hypothesis, the effect of life expectancy has significantly positive on life insurance density by which coefficients are bigger than one. Also, age dependency ratio has significantly positive impact on life insurance density as with hypothesis. These coefficients are both smaller than one in one regression and bigger than one in another one regression. Yet, population growth rate is statistically insignificant on life insurance density.

Just like hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on life insurance density. This suggests that the life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of life insurance penetration

Right side of table 6 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of life insurance penetration as dependent variable for middle income countries.

GDP per capita is significantly positive on life insurance penetration in two regressions as in hypothesis; whereas it is significantly negative in other two regressions contrary to hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one. Middle income countries have not certain the income elasticity of insurance demand. Life insurance maybe normal good or inferior good for middle income countries. Meanwhile, interest rate is both significantly positive on life insurance penetration in two regressions by which coefficients are smaller than one and statistically insignificant in another one regression. This significant effect of interest rate is not same with hypothesis. But, interest rate impacts insurance demand by implicitly. Insurance firms invest with collected money and gain profit. So, high profit rates reflect to insurance purchasing behavior of people. However, the effect of assets of deposit money banks has significantly positive on life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one.

As with hypothesis, the coefficient of urbanization is significantly positive and bigger than one on life insurance penetration. Yet, health expenditure and labor force participation rate of female have insignificant effects on life insurance penetration.

The coefficient of age dependency ratio is significantly negative and smaller than one on life insurance penetration as in hypothesis. However, the effect of population growth rate is significantly negative and bigger than one on life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. Meanwhile, life expectancy is significantly positive on life insurance penetration by which coefficients are bigger than one as such in hypothesis.

The effect of global financial crisis is negatively insignificant on life insurance penetration. This suggests that the life insurance penetration did not change after global financial crisis.

3.2. NON-LIFE INSURANCE DEMAND

3.2.1. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries

Table 7: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developed Countries

	logprempc				logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	.75*	.74*	2.1*	2.4*	.10	.19*	.33*	.33*	
	(4.35)	(4.3)	(13)	(17)	(1.49)	(3.35)	(3.9)	(3.9)	
int		.0007	.001	.001**		.001*	.001*	.001*	
		(.645)	(1.4)	(2.2)		(3.42)	(4)	(4)	
bankgdp			.001*	*8000			.0002*	.0002**	
			(9.5)	(4.5)			(2.6)	(2.4)	
urb			.02*	.01*			.01*	.01*	
			(8.2)	(4.5)			(6.9)	(6.5)	
femlab			.02*	.01**			004	005	
			(4.3)	(2)			(-1.6)	(-1.6)	
crisis				.18*				.0007	
				(14)				(.1)	
cons	603	576	-10*	-9.8*	123	521**	-1.8*	-1.8*	
	(768)	(732)	(-11)	(-12)	(373)	(-1.97)	(-3.9)	(-3.8)	
N	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	
R-square	.812	.812	.79	.84	.346	.342	.26	.26	
F-statistics	18.9	9.66	71	112	2.21		17	14	
F-stat d.f.	1,493	2,492	5,489	6,488	1,493		5,489	6,488	
Hausman	0.0003	0.0012	0.0000	0.0000	0.0254	0.0611	0.0103	0.0371	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Developed countries: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance density

Left side of table 7 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance density as dependent variable for developed countries.

As with hypothesis, GDP per capita has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density. These coefficients are bigger than one in two regressions and smaller than one in other two regressions. Developed countries have not accurate income elasticity of non-life insurance demand. Non-life insurance maybe luxury good or inferior good for developed countries. Meanwhile, the effect of interest rate is significantly positive on the non-life insurance density in one regression by which the coefficient is smaller than one; whereas it is statistically insignificant in other two regressions. This significant effect of interest rate contrast with hypothesis. But, interest rate impacts insurance demand by implicitly. Insurance firms invest with collected premiums and make profit. So, high profit rates reflect to insurance purchasing behavior of people. However, the effect of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive on the non-life insurance density such in hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one.

Also, coefficients of urbanization and labor force participation rate of female are significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density. Effects of variables confirm hypothesis.

Global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density as in hypothesis. This suggests that the non-life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance penetration

Right side of table 7 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance penetration as dependent variable for developed countries.

The coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration in three regressions; whereas it has no significant effect in another one regression. The income elasticity of non-life insurance demand is unclear for developed countries. This significant effect of GDP per capita is same with hypothesis. However, coefficients of interest rate and assets of deposit money banks are significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis.

As in hypothesis, urbanization is significantly positive on the non-life insurance penetration by which coefficients are bigger than one. Yet, labor force participation rate

of female is statistically insignificant on the non-life insurance penetration.

The effect of global financial crisis is positively insignificant on the non-life insurance penetration. This suggests that the non-life insurance penetration did not change after global financial crisis.

3.2.2. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries

Table 8: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Developing Countries

	logprempc				logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	1.49*	1.45*	1.1*	1.1*	.05	.02	1***	1***	
	(19.4)	(19.3)	(10)	(12)	(.89)	(.344)	(-1.7)	(-1.7)	
int		005*	003*	002*		001*	001*	0009*	
		(-11)	(-6.6)	(-5.1)		(-6.48)	(-3.9)	(-3.4)	
bankgdp			.003*	.002*			.002*	.002*	
			(8.5)	(6)			(11)	(10)	
urb			.01*	.005*			.003*	.001	
			(10)	(3)			(3.2)	(1.5)	
femlab			.01*	001			001	003	
			(4.5)	(59)			(65)	(-1.6)	
crisis				.2*				.02*	
				(18)				(3.1)	
cons	-3.95*	-3.71*	-4.2*	-2.7*	157	.00712	.14	.32	
	(-13.1)	(-12.6)	(-11)	(-8.1)	(638)	(.0296)	(.6)	(1.4)	
N	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	
R-square	.807	.813	.78	.82	.325	.0547	.085	.0078	
F-statistics			153	241	.792	21.4	41	36	
F-stat d.f.			5,679	6,678	1,683	2,682	5,679	6,678	
Hausman	0.0806	0.3080	0.000	0.0462	0.0019	0.0034	0.0001	0.0000	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Developing countries: Algeria, Argentina, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Israel, Kenya, Korea Republic, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Nigeria, Oman, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, Singapore, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Uruguay, Venezuela.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance density

Left side of table 8 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance density as dependent variable for developing countries.

The coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density as in hypothesis. Developing countries have income

elastic non-life insurance demand. This shows that non-life insurance is a luxury good for developing countries. Non-life insurance demand changes a lot when GDP per capita changes. Meanwhile, interest rate is significantly negative on the non-life insurance density as expected in hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one. Also, the effect of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive on the non-life insurance density by which coefficients are smaller than one. This variable effect is same with hypothesis.

As expected in hypothesis, the coefficient of labor force participation rate of female is significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density in one regression; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression. Also, urbanization has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density as in hypothesis. These coefficients are both smaller than one in one regression and bigger than one in another one regression.

Just like hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density. This suggests that the non-life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance penetration

Right side of table 8 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance penetration as dependent variable for developing countries.

As with hypothesis, the coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly negative and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration in two regressions; whereas it has no significant effect in other two regressions. The income elasticity of non-life insurance demand is not certain for developing countries. However, the coefficient of interest rate is significantly negative and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. The coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration such in hypothesis.

Urbanization is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration in one regression; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression. This variable effect is same with hypothesis. Yet, labor force participation rate of female has no significantly effect on the non-life insurance penetration.

Global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. This suggests that the non-life insurance penetration increased after global financial crisis.

3.2.3. Non-Life Insurance Demand for High Income Countries

Table 9: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for High-Income Countries

		logpre		logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
loggdppc	13	12	.57*	1*	34*	34*	17**	16**
	(-1.08)	(-1)	(4.9)	(13)	(-5.11)	(-5.1)	(-2.2)	(-2.1)
int		004*	17**	16**		0001	.0001	.0001
		(-4.51)	(-2.4)	(33)		(344)	(.3)	(.32)
bankgdp			.001*	*8000			.0004*	.0004*
			(8.2)	(4.7)			(3.9)	(3.7)
urb			.01*	.0007			.005*	.005*
			(3.4)	(.49)			(2.8)	(2.7)
femlab			.03*	.01*			.0009	.0008
			(9)	(5.8)			(.37)	(.29)
crisis				.16*				.001
				(14)				(.12)
cons	3.4*	3.37*	-2.3*	-2.8*	1.89*	1.89*	.56	.56
	(5.99)	(6.03)	(-3.5)	(-7)	(6.22)	(6.21)	(1.3)	(1.3)
N	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660
R-square	.615	.099	.55	.78	.129	.129	.0064	.0072
F-statistics	1.17	10.8	63		26.1	13.1	12	10
F-stat d.f.	1,626	2,625	5,622		1,626	2,625	5,622	6,621
Hausman	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.5819	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	0.0005

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

High income countries: Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Belgium, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea Republic, Kuwait, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Oman, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance density

Left side of table 9 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance density as dependent variable for high income countries.

As expected in hypothesis, the coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance density in one regression;

whereas it is significantly positive and equal to one in another one regression. Also, it is statistically insignificant in other two regressions. The income elasticity of non-life insurance demand is unclear for high income countries. However, interest rate has significantly negative impact on the non-life insurance density as such in hypothesis. These significant coefficients are both bigger than one in two regressions and smaller than one in another one regression. As expected in hypothesis, the effect of assets of deposit money banks are significantly positive on the non-life insurance density by which coefficients are smaller than one.

The coefficient of urbanization is significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density in one regression; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression. This significant urbanization variable connected with hypothesis. Meanwhile, labor force participation rate of female is significantly positive on the nonlife insurance density by which coefficients are bigger than one as in hypothesis.

Just like hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density. This suggests that the non-life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance penetration

Right side of table 9 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance penetration as dependent variable for high income countries.

Such in hypothesis, the coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly negative and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration. The income elasticity of non-life insurance demand is negatively inelastic. Non-life insurance is an inferior good for high income countries. Meanwhile, as in hypothesis, the effect of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive on the non-life insurance penetration by which coefficients are smaller than one. On the other hand, interest rate is statistically insignificant on the non-life insurance penetration.

The coefficient of urbanization is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration as such in hypothesis. Yet, interest rate and labor force participation rate of female has no significant effect on the non-life insurance penetration. Contrary to expectations in hypothesis, the effect of global financial crisis is negatively insignificant on the non-life insurance penetration. This suggests that the non-life insurance penetration did not change after global financial crisis.

3.2.4. Non-Life Insurance Demand for Middle Income Countries

Table 10: Econometric Models of Non-Life Insurance Demand for Middle-Income Countries

	logprempc				logpremgdp				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
loggdppc	2.75*	2.65*	1.9*	1.6*	.48*	.46*	.23*	.21*	
	(20.1)	(20.5)	(14)	(18)	(8.51)	(8.2)	(3.2)	(2.9)	
int		004*	002*	001*		001*	0009*	0009*	
		(-8.48)	(-5.4)	(-4.5)		(-5.19)	(-3.8)	(-3.6)	
bankgdp			.003*	.002*			.001*	.001*	
			(8)	(5.3)			(6.2)	(5.5)	
urb			.01*	.0006			.002***	.001	
			(7.5)	(.38)			(1.9)	(.82)	
femlab			.003	001			003	004***	
			(.86)	(66)			(-1.3)	(-1.7)	
crisis				.2*				.01***	
				(16)				(1.9)	
cons	-8.59*	-8.14*	-6.6*	-4.5*	-1.76*	-1.66*	91*	73**	
	(-17)	(-17)	(-13)	(-14)	(-8.26)	(-7.84)	(-3.3)	(-2.5)	
N	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	
R-square	.799	.811	.82	.86	.486	.496	.39	.32	
F-statistics	405	264	168				25	21	
F-stat d.f.	1,550	2,549	5,546				5,546	6,545	
Hausman	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0547	0.2040	0.2619	0.0274	0.0175	

Note: "*", "**" and "***" indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. t-values are given in parentheses. Model which has F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is smaller than one is fixed-effects model. Model which has not F-Statistic value and also Hausman coefficient is bigger than one is a random-effects model.

Middle income countries: Algeria, Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Bulgaria, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Nigeria, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Romania, Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Turkey, Venezuela.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance density

Left side of table 10 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance density as dependent variable for middle income countries.

As expected in hypothesis, the coefficient of GDP per capita is significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density. Middle income countries have income elastic non-life insurance demand. This means that non-life insurance is

a luxury good for middle income countries. Non-life insurance demand changes a lot when GDP per capita changes. Meanwhile, the effect of interest rate is significantly negative on the non-life insurance density by which coefficients are smaller than one as such in hypothesis. However, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance density as in hypothesis.

The coefficient of urbanization is significantly positive and bigger than one on the non-life insurance density in one regression as in hypothesis; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression. Yet, labor force participation rate of female has no significant effect on the non-life insurance density.

Just like in hypothesis, global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance density. This suggests that the non-life insurance density increased after global financial crisis.

Dependent variable: Logarithm of non-life insurance penetration

Right side of table 10 shows econometric models which are estimated for logarithm of non-life insurance penetration as dependent variable for middle income countries.

As with hypothesis, GDP per capita has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance penetration by which coefficients are smaller than one. Middle income countries have income inelastic non-life insurance demand. This implies that non-life insurance is a necessary good for middle income countries. Non-life insurance demand changes more when GDP per capita changes. Also, interest rate has significantly negative effect on the non-life insurance penetration as expected in hypothesis. These coefficients are smaller than one. However, the coefficient of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration as such in hypothesis.

The coefficient of urbanization is significantly positive and smaller than one on the non-life insurance penetration in one regression as in hypothesis; whereas it is statistically insignificant in another one regression. However, labor force participation rate of female has significantly negative effect on the non-life insurance penetration by which the coefficient is smaller than one in one regression; whereas it has no significant

effect in another one regression. This variable effect contrary to expected in hypothesis.

Global financial crisis has significantly positive impact on the non-life insurance penetration such in hypothesis. This suggests that the non-life insurance penetration increased after global financial crisis.

CONCLUSION

Country groups have different insurance demand patterns. These discrepancies are analyzed in this study for life and non-life insurance demand. The empirical findings of this study with regard to *premium density* are summarized as follows:

There are difference effects on life insurance demand between developed and developing countries. GDP per capita, life expectancy and global financial crisis are significantly positive variables for both developed and developing countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Life expectancy has positive effect for that higher life expectancy decreases the price of life insurance. Therefore, people tend to stimulate life insurance demand. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks. Interest rate has significantly positive effect on developed countries but it has significantly negative effect on developing countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. Assets of deposit money banks and health expenditure are significantly positive for developed countries while they are significantly negative for developing countries. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Negative effect of assets of deposit money banks indicates that people will not securitization of cash flows. Therefore, insurance consumption decreases. Health expenditure has negative effect on life insurance for that health expenditure can be seen sufficient and people feel reassured. Therefore, insurance demand decreases. Positive effect of health expenditure on life insurance demand indicates complementary hypothesis which is health expenditure and life insurance annuities can be accept as a complementary. Urbanization and labor force participation rate of female have insignificant impacts on developed countries while they have significantly positive impacts on developing countries. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Positive effect of labor force participation

rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy life insurance. Age dependency ratio and population growth rate are insignificant on developed countries but they are significantly negative on developing countries. Age dependency ratio has negative effect on life insurance for that working or active people save money to protect living standards of dependents. Negative effect of population growth rate shows that insurance consumption decreases because of inadequate potential buyer of life insurance.

Also, there are difference effects on life insurance demand between high income and middle income countries. GDP per capita, assets of deposit money banks, life expectancy and global financial crisis are significantly positive for high income and middle income countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Life expectancy has positive effect for that higher life expectancy decreases the price of life insurance. Therefore, people tend to stimulate life insurance demand. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks. Interest rate has significantly negative effect on high income countries but it has both significantly positive and negative effects on middle income countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. Urbanization has insignificant effect on high income countries while it has significantly positive impact on middle income countries. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Labor force participation rate of female and population growth rate are significantly negative for high income countries but they are insignificant for middle income countries. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy life insurance. Population growth rate has positive effect on life insurance for increasing potential buyer of life insurance. Health expenditure has significantly negative effect on high income countries while it has significantly positive

effect on middle income countries. Health expenditure has negative effect on life insurance for that health expenditure can be seen sufficient and people feel reassured. Therefore, insurance demand decreases. Positive effect of health expenditure on life insurance demand indicates complementary hypothesis which is health expenditure and life insurance annuities can be accept as a complementary. Age dependency ratio is insignificant on high income countries but it is significantly negative on middle income countries. Age dependency ratio has negative effect on life insurance for that working or active people save money to protect living standards of dependents.

There are difference effects on non-life insurance demand between developed and developing countries. GDP per capita, assets of deposit money banks, urbanization, labor force participation rate of female, and global financial crisis are significantly positive variables for both developed and developing countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy non-life insurance. Urbanization has positive effect on non-life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy non-life insurance. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks. Interest rate has significantly positive effect on developed countries while it has significantly negative effect on developing countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing non-life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns.

Also, there are difference effects on non-life insurance demand between high income and middle income countries. GDP per capita, assets of deposit money banks, urbanization and global financial crisis are significantly positive for both high income and middle income countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people

to buy non-life insurance. Urbanization has positive effect on non-life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks. Labor force participation rate of female has significantly positive impact for high income countries but it has insignificant impact for middle income countries. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy non-life insurance.

The empirical findings of life and non-life insurance demand with regard to *premium penetration* are summarized as follows:

There are difference effects on life insurance demand between developed and developing countries. GDP per capita and interest rate have significantly positive effects on developed countries while these variables have significantly negative effects on developing countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. The effect of assets of deposit money banks is significantly positive on developed countries while it is significantly negative on developing countries. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Negative effect of assets of deposit money banks indicates that people will not securitization of cash flows. Therefore, insurance consumption decreases. Urbanization has significantly negative effect for developed countries while it has significantly positive effect for developing countries. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Labor force participation rate of female is statistically insignificant for developed countries while it has significantly positive effect on developing countries. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy life insurance. Health expenditure, age dependency ratio and population growth rate are statistically insignificant for developed countries but they are significantly negative for developing countries. Health expenditure has negative effect on life insurance for that health expenditure can be seen sufficient and people feel reassured. Therefore, insurance demand decreases. Age dependency ratio has negative effect on life insurance for that working or active people save money to protect living standards of dependents. Negative effect of population growth rate shows that insurance consumption decreases because of inadequate potential buyer of life insurance. Life expectancy has significantly positive effect on developed and developing countries. Life expectancy has positive effect for that higher life expectancy decreases the price of life insurance. Therefore, people tend to stimulate life insurance demand. Global financial crisis has significantly negative impact for developed and developing countries. Negative effect of global financial crisis result from loss on people' purchasing power.

Also, there are difference effects on life insurance demand between high income and middle income countries. GDP per capita is significantly positive for high income countries while it is both significantly negative and significantly positive for middle income countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Interest rate is significantly negative for high income countries while it is significantly positive for middle income countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. Assets of deposit money banks and life expectancy have significantly positive effects for both high income and middle income countries. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Urbanization is statistically insignificant for high income countries but it is significantly positive for middle income countries. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Labor force participation rate of female has significantly positive impact for high income countries but it has insignificant impact for middle income countries. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy life insurance. Health expenditure and global financial crisis have significantly negative effects for high income countries but they have statistically insignificant effects for middle income countries. Health expenditure has negative effect on life insurance for that health expenditure can be seen sufficient and people feel reassured. Therefore, insurance demand decreases. Negative effect of global financial crisis result from loss on people' purchasing power. Age dependency ratio is significantly positive for high income countries while it is significantly negative for middle income countries. Positive effect of age dependency ratio indicates that working or active people want to protect dependents against financial difficulties. Population growth rate is statistically insignificant for high income countries while it is significantly negative for middle income countries. Negative effect of population growth rate shows that insurance consumption decreases because of inadequate potential buyer of life insurance. Life expectancy has significantly positive effect on developed and developing countries. Life expectancy has positive effect for that higher life expectancy decreases the price of life insurance. Therefore, people tend to stimulate life insurance demand.

There are difference effects on non-life insurance demand between developed and developing countries. GDP per capita and interest rate are significantly positive for developed countries while they are significantly negative for developing countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Interest rate is significantly negative for high income countries while it is significantly positive for middle income countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. Assets of deposit money banks and urbanization are significantly positive for developed and developing countries. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Labor force participation rate of female is statistically insignificant for developed and developing countries. Global financial crisis is statistically insignificant for developed countries while it is significantly positive for developing countries. Positive effect of

global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks.

Also, there are difference effects on non-life insurance demand between high income and middle income countries. GDP per capita has significantly negative effect for high income countries and it has significantly positive effect for middle income countries. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Interest rate and labor force participation rate of female are statistically insignificant for high income countries while they are significantly negative for middle income countries. Negative coefficient of interest rate is related to insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Assets of deposit money banks and urbanization are significantly positive for high income and middle income countries. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance indicates that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life insurance. Urbanization has positive effect on life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Global financial crisis is statistically insignificant for high income countries while it is significantly positive for middle income countries. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks.

These results indicate some inference on country groups as follows:

GDP per capita is important and positive variable for life and non-life insurance demand. Positive effect of GDP per capita shows that people protect living standards during lifetime. Life insurance is a luxury good but non-life insurance is both luxury and necessary good for developed countries and high income countries. Life and non-life insurance are luxury goods for developing countries and middle income countries. Outreville (1996), Kjosevski (2012), Lee and Chiu (2012) found similar elasticities.

Interest rate raises life and non-life insurance demand for developed countries. The effect of interest rate decreases life and non-life insurance demand for developing countries and high income countries. Interest rate both decreases and increases life insurance demand for middle income countries but it decreases non-life insurance demand for middle income countries. Negative effect of interest rate is related to

insurance companies' investment returns. Insurance companies' profits reflect on purchasing life and non-life insurance for that people expect higher returns. Positive effect of interest rate indicates that people hold alternative assets seeking for higher returns. Kjosevski (2012), Sen and Madheswaran (2013) found that interest rate has negative effect on life insurance.

The more is the deposit to GDP ratio, the more demand is for life and non-life insurance for developed countries, high income and middle income countries. However, deposit to GDP ratio is significantly negative on life insurance demand while it is significantly positive on non-life insurance demand for developing economies. Positive effect of assets of deposit money banks on insurance shows that well-functioning banking system enhances confidence of people to buy life and non-life insurance. Negative effect of assets of deposit money banks indicates that people will not securitization of cash flows. Therefore, insurance consumption decreases.

Urbanization increases life and non-life insurance demand for developing countries and middle income countries. For developed countries and high income countries, urbanization increases non-life insurance demand but it is not important on life insurance demand. Urbanization has positive effect on life and non-life insurance for facilitate insurance distribution, reducing the cost of marketing and premium collection. Dragos (2014) found similar results.

More labor force participation of female more life and non-life insurance demand for developing countries and high income countries. But labor force participation of female is not important on life and non-life insurance demand for middle income countries. For developed countries, labor force participation of female is not important on life insurance demand while it increases non-life insurance demand. Positive effect of labor force participation rate of female indicates that female' income is important to buy life and non-life insurance.

Increasing health expenditure rises life insurance demand for developed countries and middle income countries; whereas it decreases life insurance demand for developing countries and upper income countries. Health expenditure has negative effect on life insurance for that health expenditure can be seen sufficient and people feel reassured. Therefore, insurance demand decreases. Positive effect of health expenditure

on life insurance demand indicates complementary hypothesis which is health expenditure and life insurance annuities can be accept as a complementary. Kjosevski (2012) found same results.

Age dependency ratio has no impact on life insurance demand for developed countries and high income countries but it has negative impact on life insurance demand for developing countries and middle income countries. Age dependency ratio has negative effect on life insurance for that working or active people save money to protect living standards of dependents. Results are same with Sen and Madheswaran (2013), Yuan and Jiang (2015).

Population growth is not important on life insurance demand for developed countries and middle income countries. Population growth decreases life insurance demand for developing countries; whereas it increases life insurance demand for high income countries. Negative effect of population growth rate shows that insurance consumption decreases because of inadequate potential buyer of life insurance. Positive effect of population growth rate on life insurance indicates that potential buyer of life insurance will increase.

More life expectancy more life insurance demand for all county groups. Life expectancy has positive effect for that higher life expectancy decreases the price of life insurance. Therefore, people tend to stimulate life insurance demand.

Life and non-life insurance demand increased after global financial crisis at all county groups. Positive effect of global financial crisis indicates that people want to be protected from crises and hedge risks. Also, people will protect the living standards after crisis.

The possible policy implications comprising developed countries and high income countries are as follows: To increase the life and non-life insurance, these countries should increase GDP per capita, urbanization rate, the deposit to GDP ratio and female labor participation rate. However, developed countries should increase interest rate and health expenditure; whereas high income countries should decrease interest rate.

The possible policy implications comprising developing countries and middle income countries are as follows: To increase the life and non-life insurance, these

countries should increase GDP per capita and urbanization rate. On the other hand these countries should decrease interest rate, age dependency ratio and population growth rate. Developing countries should decrease health expenditure and the deposit to GDP ratio; whereas middle income countries should increase health expenditure and deposit money. Also, developing countries should increase female labor force participation.

REFERENCES

Alhassan, A. and Biekpe, N. (2016). Determinants of Life Insurance Consumption in Africa, *Research in International Business and Finance*. 37(2016): 17-27

Anderson, D. R. and Nevin, J. R. (1975). Determinants of Young Marrieds Life Insurance Purchasing Behavior: An Empirical Investigation, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 42(3): 375.

Arena, M. (2008). Does Insurance Market Activity Promote Economic Growth? A Cross-Country Study for Industrialized and Developing Countries, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 75(4): 921-946.

Auerbach, A. and Kotlikoff, L. (1989). How Rational Is the Purchase of Life Insurance?, *National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper Series Working Paper No 3063*. 1989(8): 1-47.

Babbel, D. F. (1981). Inflation, Indexation, and Life Insurance Sales in Brazil, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 48(1): 111.

Babbel, D. F. (1985). The Price Elasticity of Demand for Whole Life Insurance, *The Journal of Finance*. 40(1): 225-239.

Baek, E. and DeVaney, S. A. (2005). Human Capital, Bequest Motives, Risk, and the Purchase of Life Insurance, *Journal of Personal Finance*. 4(2): 62.

Beck, T. (2003). Economic, Demographic, and Institutional Determinants of Life Insurance Consumption across Countries, *The World Bank Economic Review*. 17(1): 51-88.

Beenstock, M., Dickinson, G. and Khajuria, S. (1986). The Determination of Life Pre-

miums: An International Cross-Section Analysis 1970-1981, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*. 5(4): 261-270.

Beenstock, M., Dickinson, G. and Khajuria, S. (1988). The Relationship between Property-Liability Insurance Premiums and Income: An International Analysis, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 55(2): 259-272.

Berekson, L. L. (1972). Birth Order, Anxiety, Affiliation and the Purchase of Life Insurance, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 39(1): 93.

Bernheim, B. D. (1991). How Strong Are Bequest Motives? Evidence Based on Estimates of the Demand for Life Insurance and Annuities, *Journal of Political Economy*. 99(5): 899-927.

Browne, M.J. and Kim, K. (1993). An International Analysis of Life Insurance Demand, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 60(4): 616.

Browne, M.J., Chung, J. W. and Frees, E. W. (2000). International Property-Liability Insurance Consumption, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 67(1): 73.

Burnett, J. J. and Palmer, B. A. (1984). Examining Life Insurance Ownership through Demographic and Psychographic Characteristics, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 51(3): 453.

Chui, A. C. W. and Kwok, C. C. Y. (2007). National Culture and Life Insurance Consumption, *Journal of International Business Studies*. 39(1): 88-101.

Curak, M., Dzaja, I. and Pepur, S. (2013). The Effect of Social and Demographic Factors on Life Insurance Demand in Croatia, *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. 4(9): 1-8.

Dragos, S. L. (2014). Life and Non-Life Insurance Demand: The Different Effects of Influence Factors in Emerging Countries from Europe and Asia, *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*. 27(1): 169-180.

Dragos, S. L., Mare, C., Dragota, I. M., Dragos, C. M. and Muresan, G. M. (2017). The Nexus between the Demand for Life Insurance and Institutional Factors in Europe: New Evidence from a Panel Data Approach, *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*. 30(1): 1477-1496.

Duker, J. M. (1969). Expenditures for Life Insurance among Working-Wife Families, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 36(5): 525.

Esho, N., Kirievsky, A., Ward, D. and Zurbruegg, R. (2004). Law and the Determinants of Property-Casualty Insurance, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 71(2): 265-283.

Ferber, F. and Lee, L. C. (1980). Acquisition and Accumulation of Life Insurance in Early Married Life, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 47(4): 713.

Feyen, E., Lester, R. and Rocha, R. (2011). What Drives the Development of the Insurance Sector? An Empirical Analysis Based on a Panel of Developed and Developing Countries, *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No 5572*.

Fitzgerald, J. (1987). The Effects of Social Security on Life Insurance Demand by Married Couples, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 54(1): 86.

Fortune, P. (1972). Inflation and Saving through Life Insurance: Comment, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 39(2): 317.

Fortune, P. (1973). A Theory of Optimal Life Insurance: Development and Test, *The Journal of Finance*. 28(3): 587.

Gandolfi, A. S. and Miners, L. (1996). Gender-Based Differences in Life Insurance Ownership, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 63(4): 683.

Guo, F., Fung, H. G. and Huang, Y. S. (2009). The Dynamic Impact of Macro Shocks on Insurance Premiums, *Journal of Financial Services Research*. 35(3): 225-244.

Gutter, M. S. and Hatcher, C. B. (2008). Racial Differences in the Demand for Life Insurance, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 75(3): 677-689.

Haiss, P. and Sümegi, K. (2008). The Relationship between Insurance and Economic Growth in Europe: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, *Empirica*. 35(4): 405-431.

Hammond, J. D., Houston, D. B. and Melander, E. R. (1967). Determinants of Household Life Insurance Premium Expenditures: An Empirical Investigation, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 34(3): 397.

Hau, A. (2000). Liquidity, Estate Liquidation, Charitable Motives, and Life Insurance Demand by Retired Singles, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 67(1): 123.

Headen, R. S. and Lee, J. F. (1974). Life Insurance Demand and Household Portfolio Behavior, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 41(4): 685.

Hussels, S., Ward, D. and Zurbruegg, R. (2005). Stimulating the Demand for Insurance, *Risk Management and Insurance Review*. 8(2): 257-278.

Hwang, T. and Gao, S. (2003). The Determinants of the Demand for Life Insurance in an Emerging Economy: the Case of China, *Managerial Finance*. 29(5): 82-96.

Kakar, P. and Shukla, R. (2010). The Determinants of Demand for Life Insurance in an Emerging Economy-India, *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research*. 4(1): 49-77.

Karni, E. and Zilcha, I. (1986). Risk Aversion in the Theory of Life Insurance: The Fisherian Model, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 53(4): 606.

Kjosevski, J. (2012). The Determinants of Life Insurance Demand in Central and Southeastern Europe, *International Journal of Economics and Finance*. 4(3): 1-11.

Lee, C. C. and Chiu, Y. B. (2012). The Impact of Real Income on Insurance Premiums: Evidence from Panel Data, *International Review of Economics and Finance*. 21(1): 246-260.

Lee, J F. and Whitaker, W. M. (1971). Competition Among Life Insurance Product Lines: Determinants of Demand, *Review of Financial Economics*. 7(1): 25.

Lee, C. C., Chiu, Y. B. and Chang, C. H. (2013). Insurance Demand and Country Risks: A Nonlinear Panel Data Analysis, *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 36(9): 68-85.

Lewis, F. D. (1989). Dependents and the Demand for Life Insurance, *The American Economic Review*. 79(3): 452-467.

Li, D., Moshirian, F., Nguyen, P. and Wee, T. (2007). The Demand for Life Insurance in OECD Countries, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 74(3): 637-652.

Liebenberg, A. P., Carson, J. M. and Dumm, R. E. (2012). A Dynamic Analysis of the Demand for Life Insurance, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 79(3): 619-644.

Lin, C., Hsiao, Y. J. and Yeh, C. Y. (2017). Financial Literacy, Financial Advisors, and Information Sources on Demand for Life Insurance, *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*. 43(6): 218-237.

Lin, Y. and Grace, M. F. (2007). Household Life Cycle Protection: Life Insurance Holdings, Financial Vulnerability, and Portfolio Implication, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 74 (1): 141-173.

Luciano, E., Outreville, J. F. and Rossi, M. (2016). Life Insurance Ownership by Italian Households: A Gender-Based Differences Analysis, *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice*. 41(3): 468-490.

Mahdzan, N. S. and Victorian S. M. P. (2013). The Determinants of Life Insurance Demand: A Focus on Saving Motives and Financial Literacy, *Asian Social Science*. 9(5): 1-11.

Mantis, G. and Farmer, R. N. (1968). Demand for Life Insurance, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 35(2): 247.

Miller, M. A. (1985). Age-Related Reductions in Workers' Life Insurance, *Monthly Labor Review*. 108:29.

Millo, G. and Carmeci, G. (2010). Non-Life Insurance Consumption in Italy: a Sub-Regional Panel Data Analysis, *Journal of Geographical Systems*. 13(3): 273-298.

Millo, G. (2014). The Income Elasticity of Nonlife Insurance: A Reassessment, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 83(2): 335-362.

Millo, G. and Carmeci, G. (2014). A Subregional Panel Data Analysis of Life Insurance Consumption in Italy, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 82 (2): 317-340.

Nakata, H. and Sawada, Y. (2007). Demand for Non-Life Insurance: A Cross-Country Analysis, *CIRJE Working Paper F-461*.

Neumann, S. (1969). Inflation and Saving Through Life Insurance, *The Journal of Risk*

and Insurance. 36(5): 567.

Ondruska T., Pastorakova, E. and Brokesova, Z. (2016). Personal, Demographic and Economic Determinants of the Life Insurance Consumption, *Sixth Asia-Pacific Conference on Global Business, Economics, Finance and Social Sciences (AP16Thai Conference) Paper ID: T606.*

Outreville, J. F. (1990). The Economic Significance of Insurance Markets in Developing Countries, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 57(3): 487.

Outreville, J. F. (1992). The Relationship between Insurance, Financial Development and Market Structure in Developing Countries, *Unctad Review*. 3: 53-69.

Outreville, J. F. (1996). Life Insurance Markets in Developing Countries, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 63(2): 263.

Pissarides, C. A. (1980). The Wealth-Age Relation with Life Insurance, *Economica*. 47(188): 451.

Sen, S. (2008). An Analysis of Life Insurance Demand Determinants for Selected Asian Economies and India, *Madras School of Economics*.

Sen, S. and Madheswaran, S. (2013). Regional Determinants of Life Insurance Consumption: Evidence from Selected Asian Economies, *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*. 27(2): 86-103.

Showers, V. E. and Shotick, J. A. (1994). The Effects of Household Characteristics on Demand for Insurance: A Tobit Analysis, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 61(3): 492.

Sliwinski, A., Michalski, T. and Roszkiewicz, M. (2012). Demand for Life Insurance

An Empirical Analysis in the Case of Poland, *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance* - *Issues and Practice*. 38(1): 62-87.

Truett, D. B. and Truett L. J. (1990). The Demand for Life Insurance in Mexico and the United States: A Comparative Study, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 57(2): 321.

Ward, D. and Zurbrueg, R. (2002). Law, Politics and Life Insurance Consumption in Asia, *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice*. 27(3): 395-412.

Williams, C. A. (1986). Higher Interest Rates, Longer Lifetimes, and the Demand for Life Annuities, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 53(1): 164.

Yaari, M. E. (1965). Uncertain Lifetime, Life Insurance, and the Theory of the Consumer, *The Review of Economic Studies*. 32(2): 137.

Yuan, C. and Jiang, Y. (2015). Factors Affecting the Demand for Insurance in China, *Applied Economics*. 47(45): 4855-4867.

Zerriaa, M. and Noubbigh, H. (2016). Determinants of Life Insurance Demand in the MENA Region, *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice*. 41(3): 491-511.

Zerriaa, M., Amiri, M. M., Noubbigh, H. and Naoui. K. (2017). Determinants of Life Insurance Demand in Tunisia, *African Development Review*. 29(1): 69-80.

Zheng, W., Liu, Y. and Deng, Y. (2009). A Comparative Study of International Insurance Markets, *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice*. 34(1): 85-99.

Zietz, E. N. (2003). An Examination of the Demand for Life Insurance, *Risk Management and Insurance Review*. 6(2): 159-191.

Zultowski, W. H. (1979). The Extent of Buyer-Initiated Life Insurance Sales, *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 46(4): 707.



Appendix 1: Determinants of Life Insurance Demand (Continued)

Variables	Positive Significant Finding	Negative Significant Finding	Non-Significant Finding	
	5.Browne and Kim (1993)			
	6. Gandolfi and Miners (1996)			
12.Employment	1.Mantis and Farmer (1968)			
13.Expected prices	1.Williams (1986)	1.Babbel (1981)		
14.Family size/No. of children	1.Berekson (1972)	1.Hammond et al (1967)	1.Duker (1969)	
15.Gender	1.Gandolfi and Miners (1996)	2. Chui and Kwok (2008)		
16.Geographic mobility	1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)			
17.Homeownership/ Type of	1. Anderson and Nevin (1975)			
housing				
	2.Ferber and Lee (1980)			
	3.Gandolfi and Miners (1996)			
18.Husband's and wife's earn-	1.Fitzgerald (1987)			
ings				
19.Information seeking		1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)		
20.Insurance on husband before		1.Anderson and Nevin (1975)		
marriage				
21.Income	1.Hammond et al (1967)	1. Anderson and Nevin (1975)	1. Berekson (1972)	
	2. Mantis and Farmer (1968)			
	3. Duker (1969)			
	4.Neumann (1969)			
	5.Berekson (1972)			
	6. Fortune (1973)			
	7. Anderson and Nevin (1975)			
	8.Ferber and Lee (1980)			
	9.Burnett and Palmer (1984)			
	10.Truett and Truett (1990)			

app. p.3

Appendix 1: Determinants of Life Insurance Demand (Continued)

Variables	Positive Significant Finding	Negative Significant Finding	Non-Significant Finding
	11. Browne and Kim (1993)		
	12. Showers and Shotick (1994)		
	13. Gandolfi and Miners (1996)		
	14. Beck and Webb (2003)		
	15. Li et al (2007)		
	16. Arena (2008)		
	17. Haiss and Sümegi (2008)		
	18. Feyen et al (2011)		
	19. Lee and Chiu (2012)		
	20. Kjosevski (2012)		
	21. Sliwinski et al (2013)		
	22. Yuan and Jiang (2015)		
	23. Zerriaa et al (2017)		
22. Insurance on wife before mar-	1.Anderson and Nevin (1975)		
riage			
23.Life expectancy	1.Williams (1986)	1.Browne and Kim (1993)	
	2. Beenstock et al (1986)	2. Alhassan and Biekpe (2016)	
	3. Outreville (1996)	3. Feyen et al (2011)	
	4. Ward and Zurbruegg (2002)		
	5. Beck and Webb (2003)		
	6. Zerriaa et al (2017)		
24.Marital status/Times		1.Hammond et al (1968)	1.Berekson (1972)
		2.Mantis and Farmer (1968)	2.Burnett and Palmer (1984)
25.Net worth/ Wealth/ Savings	1.Hammond et al (1967)	1.Fortune (1973)	1. Fitzgerald (1987)
	2.Duker (1969)		2.Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1989
	3. Headen and Lee (1974)		

Appendix 1: Determinants of Life Insurance Demand (Continued)

Variables	Positive Significant Finding	Negative Significant Finding	Non-Significant Finding
	4.Anderson and Nevin (1975)		
	5.Ferber and Lee (1980)		
	6.Lewis (1989)		
	7.Bernheim (1991)		
	8.Hau (2000)		
26.Occupation/ Employment	1. Hammond et al (1967)		1. Anderson and Nevin (1975)
	2.Mantis and Farmer (1968)		
	3. Duker (1969)		
	4. Ferber and Lee (1980)		
	5. Miller (1985)		
	6.Fitzgerald (1987)		
	7. Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1989)		
27. Other prices/ Inflation/ Inter-	1.Mantis and Farmer (1968)	1. Browne and Kim (1993)	1.Neumann (1969)
est rates			
	2.Fortune (1973)	2. Kjosevski (2012)	
	3. Hwang and Gao(2003)	3. Zerriaa et al (2017)	
	4. Haiss and Sümegi (2008)		
28. Parental divorce			1.Berekson (1972)
29.Population	1.Mantis and Farmer (1968)		
30.Price conscious		1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)	
31.Prices of insurance		1.Babbel (1985)	
		2. Browne and Kim (1993)	
32.Psychographic traits	1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)		
33.Race			1.Hammond et al (1967)
34.Region	1.Truett and Truett (1990)		
35.Religion		1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)	2.Browne and Kim (1993)

Appendix 1: Determinants of Life Insurance Demand (Continued)

Variables Positive Significant Finding		Negative Significant Finding	Non-Significant Finding
36.Self esteem		1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)	
37.Social Security/ Health Ex-	1.Bernheim (1991)	1.Fitzgerald (1987)	1.Fitzgerald (1987)
penditure			
	2.Browne and Kim (1993)	2.Rejda et al (1987)	
		3.Lewis (1989)	
	4. Alhassan Biekpe (2016)		
38.Stock market price index	1.Headen and Lee (1974)		
39.Wife working outside of	1.Ferber and Lee (1980)	1.Duker (1969)	1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)
home/ No. of earners			
	2.Showers and Shotick (1994)	2.Goldsmith (1983)	
	3.Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1989)	3.Gandolfi and Miners (1996)	
40.Work ethic		1.Burnett and Palmer (1984)	

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
1.Hammond	Household survey	1952 and 1961 The	Premium expendi-	General regression	Income, net worth, household life cycle stage, occu-
et al (1967)	data	United States of	tures	model	pation, and education have significant effects on life
		America			insurance demand.
2.Mantis	American Council	1929 -1964 The	Total sales of life in-	Regression model	Life insurance is effected by population, insurance
and Farmer	of Life Insurance	United States of	surance per year		prices relative to other consumer prices, personal
(1968)	Fact Book	America			income, employment, number of marriages and of
					births.
3.Duker	The Bureau of La-	1958 -1961 The	Premium expendi-	Linear multiple re-	Working-wife family market is growing and unused
(1969)	bor Statistics sur-	United States of	tures	gression	Housewife families are buy more life insurance than
	vey	America			working-wife families.
4.Neumann	Statistical Ab-	1946 - 1964 The	Purchases of ordi-	Multiple linear re-	Life insurance is affected insignificantly price expec-
(1969)	stracts and U.S.	United States of	nary whole life and	gression model	tation on saving through for the post-war years.
	Commerce data	America	term insurance		
5.Lee and	American Council	1946 - 1969 The	The degree of	Simultaneous equa-	There is no a competition between ordinary, group
Whitaker	of Life Insurance	United States of	product-line com-	tion model	and industrial life markets. These markets apart from
(1971)	Fact Book	America	petition		each other arising from their different growth poli-
					cies.
6.Berekson	Two surveys of col-	1969 The United	Total premiums; To-		Income, birth order, number of children, and age
(1972)	lege students	States of America	tal premiums for in-		effect life insurance demand.
			dividual		
7.Fortune	Department of	1953 - 1967 The	Per dollar of insur-	Ordinary least	Inflation has a positive effect to the life insurance sec-
(1972)	Commerce, Survey	United States of	ance	squares	tor. Households' per dollar of insurance is positively
	of Current Business	America			related to the level of expected future prices and so
					life insurance products.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
8.Fortune	American Council	1964 - 1971 The	Optimal amount of	Multiple regression	The amount of wage and salary income, the amount
(1973)	of Life Insurance	United States of	life insurance	analysis	of nonhuman wealth, the discount rate, and consumer
	Fact Book	America			confidence designate the optimal level of life insurance.
9.Headen	Federal Reserve	1957 - 1971 The	The household port-	Ordinary least	Price conditions in financial markets, interest rate,
and Lee	Flow of Funds	United States of	folio effect on ordi-	square estimation	savings and consumer sentiment are important on
(1974)	data; A. M. Best	America	nary life insurance		life insurance demand.
	Company data		demand		
10.Anderson	University of	1968 - 1971 The	Amount of life in-	Multiple classifica-	Term life insurance purchases in households are re-
and Nevin	Illinois survey of	United States of	surance purchased	tion analysis	lated to the wife's insurance before marriage, couples
(1975)	young married	America			have greater net worth and also the agent that has no
	couples				influence the buying decision.
11.Zultowski	Literature review	1928 - 1978			The extent of buyer-initiated sales effect consumers
(1979)					due to the result of pride in having made cautious buying.
12.Ferber	Interview of mar-	1968 - 1976 The	Decision to pur-	Two multiple re-	Financial assets, education, satisfied with life, spend-
and Lee	ried couples	United States of	chase insurance	gression	ing and savings habits, number of children, and
(1980)		America			working-wife families are important to buy life insurance.
13.Babbel	The Statistical An-	1951 - 1967 Brazil	Per capita life insur-	Multivariate regres-	Inflation is negatively significant on life insurance
(1981)	nual of Brazil	and also 1968 - 1976	ance	sion model	demand in Brazil.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
14.Burnett	Consumer surveys	Early 1980s	Life insurance own-	Multiple classifica-	Psychographic traits of traditional belief and demo-
and Palmer			ership levels	tion analysis - A	graphic traits are most strongly explain the life insur-
(1984)				variant of dummy	ance demand.
				variable multiple re-	
				gression	
15.Babbel	Best's Life Reports	1953 - 1979 The	The real amount of	Regression model	Life insurance demand is negatively related to the
(1985)		United States of	new insurance in		created cost index. Price changes are critically im-
		America	force written during		portant for consumers in terms of purchasing life
			year t		insurance.
16.Miller	The Bureau of La-	1984 The United			Active workers have significantly more life insurance
(1985)	bor Statistics sur-	States of America			than peer retired individuals.
	vey				
17.Williams	Survey of 20 indus-	1986 The United			Longer life expectancies and higher interest rates
(1986)	trial relations gradu-	States of America			decrease life insurance demand.
	ate students				
18.Beenstock	Swiss Re	1970 - 1981 10	Life premiums	Ordinary least	Interest rate is insignificantly positive on premium in-
et al (1986)		OECD countries		squares	come. There is negative relationship between Social
					Security and life insurance demand.
19.Fitzgerald	Wisconsin Assets	1946 - 1964 The	Face value of insur-	Ordinary least	Husband's future earnings, wife's expected earnings
(1987)	and Income Survey	United States of	ance on husband	squares	and Social Security benefits are significantly positive
		America			on life insurance purchases with regard to husband.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
20.Auerbach	Survey of Con-	1980, 1982 and	The wife's annuity	Ordinary least	Wives tend to buy more life insurance than their hus-
and Kotlikoff	sumer Financial	1984 The United	ratio	squares and probit	bands. More Social Security survivor benefits and
(1989)	Decisions	States of America		regressions	employer-provided group life protect against poverty among elderly widows.
21.Lewis	Life Insurance	1976 The United	Holding of life in-	The log of the like-	Social Security reduces the holding of supplied life
(1989)	Marketing and Re-	States of America	surance	lihood	insurance. There is adverse effect between net worth
	search Association survey				and life insurance demand.
22.Truett and	Several economic	1960- 1982 The	The quantity de-	Regression analysis	Age, education and income effect the life insurance
Truett (1990)	sources in the U.S.	United States of	manded of insur-		demand for America. Income elasticity of demand
	and Mexico	America, also 1964-	ance		for life insurance in Mexico is relatively bigger than
		1979 Mexico			in the U.S.
23.Bernheim	Longitudinal Re-	1975 The United	The level of annu-	Tobit model	There are positive relationships between insurance
(1991)	tirement History	States of America	ities demanded		purchases and total wealth and also Social Security
	Survey				annuity income. The bequest motive effects total
					savings behavior.
24.Browne	Swiss Re; World	1980 and also 1987	Logarithmic life in-	Ordinary least	Dependency ratio, national income and government
and Kim	Bank; United Na-	19 Countries	surance per capita	squares model	spending on Social Security are positively significant
(1993)	tions				on life insurance demand for 1980.
25.Showers	Consumer Expendi-	1987 The United	Actual expenditure	Tobit model	Income and number of earners are significantly pos-
and Shotick	ture Survey	States of America	on premiums for in-		itive effect on insurance demand. Although, family
(1994)			surance		size and age are negatively related with demand.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
26.Outreville	IMF; United Na-	1986 45 developing	The quantity of in-	Ordinary least	Personal disposable income and level of financial
(1996)	tions	economies	surance protection	squares	development significantly relate to insurance devel-
			needed in life insur-		opment.
			ance		
27.Gandolfi	American Counsel	1984 The United	Life insurance own-	Tobit models using	Contributions to household production, home owner-
and Miners	of Life Insurance,	States of America	ership	the method of maxi-	ship, and money income have favorable impacts on
(1996)	and National Fam-			mum likelihood	life insurance demand. There are adverse relation-
	ily Opinion Inc.				ship between education of the wife and insurance on
					the husband.
28.Hau	U.S. Survey of Con-	1989 The United	Life insurance hold-	Tobit regression	Net worth, annuity wealth and charitable motives are
(2000)	sumer Finances	States of America	ing		positively related to life insurance purchases. Demo-
					graphic and personal characteristics less important
					than financial and wealth variables with regard to life
					insurance demand by retired singles.
29.Chen et al	Life Insurance	1940 - 1996 The	The purchase rate	The constrained	Baby boomers buy less life insurance than earlier gen-
(2001)	Marketing and Re-	United States of	with respect to each	multiple regression	erations, so that purchasing decrease in life insurance
	search Association	America	age group		in the U.S.
30.Ward and	Swiss Re	1987-1998 37	The logarithm of	Pooled OLS, fixed-	Showed that improved civil rights and political sta-
Zurbruegg		Countries	life insurance den-	effect model and	bility lead to an increase in the consumption of life
(2002)			sity	System GMM	insurance, both in the Asian and OECD regions.
31.Beck and	Swiss Re; World	1961-2000 68	Life insurance con-	Fixed and random	Suggested that even if life insurance was a luxury
Webb (2003)	Bank; IMF	Countries	sumption	effect model	good, the demand for life insurance would still, not be
					significantly influenced by the income distribution.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
32.Hwang	Swiss Re	1986-1996 Chinese	Life insurance pre-	Multiple regression	Research has not found a negative effect of inflation
and Gao			mium expenditure	model	on life insurance consumption, even China experi-
(2003)					enced high inflation in the mid-1990s.
33.Ziets	Literature reviews				Documented most of the studies that have attempted
(2003)					to explain consumer behavior concerning the pur-
					chase of life insurance over the past 50 years.
34.Hussels et	Literature reviews				Documented most of the studies that have attempted
al (2005)					to explain consumer behavior concerning the pur-
					chase of life insurance over the past 50 years.
35.Baek and	Survey of Con-	2001 442 House-	Individual term pol-	Double hurdle	Household head was employed, married and with
DeVaney	sumer Finances	holds; The United	icy and cash value	model; Probit	more liquid assets or in a higher income tax bracket
(2005)		States of America	life policy	model, Truncated	were more likely to own cash value life insurance and
				regression model	they had a larger amount of cash value life insurance.
36.Lin and	Survey of Con-	1992-2001 (trien-	Net amount at risk;	Ordinary least	There is a relationship between financial vulnerability
Grace (2007)	sumer Finances;	nial) The United	and Face value of	squares	and the amount of term life or total life insurance
	Federal Reserve	States of America	term life insurance		purchased. Also, it finds that older consumers use less
					life insurance to protect a certain level of financial
					vulnerability than younger consumers.
37.Li et al	OECD; IMF; Euro-	1993-2000 OECD	Life insurance sales	Generalized	Results showed that a significant positive income
(2007)	pean Central Bank	Economies	per capita	Method of Mo-	elasticity of demand for life insurance exists and
				ments and also	demand is dependent on the number of dependents
				Ordinary least	and level of education but falls with life expectancy
				square estimate	and Social Security expenditure.

Method

Tobit analysis, Or-

Findings

There is little difference in life insurance ownership

Dependent

Variable

The ratio of life in-

Period and

Countries

2004 The United

Author(s)

(Year)

38.Gutter

Data

Survey

of Con-

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
44.Kakar	Counsil of India; In-	2004-2005 63,016	Life insurance par-	Logistic regression	Insured households tend to be more prosperous, more
and Shukla	dia Financial Pro-	Households	ticipants		educated and more optimistic about future security
(2010)	tection Index				than non-insured households.
45.Feyen et	AXCO; IMF;	2000-2008 90	ratio of total assets	Pooled OLS and	Life sector premiums are driven by income, popu-
al(2011)	World Bank	Countries	of insurance compa-	Dynamic panel re-	lation size, demographic structures, income distri-
			nies to GDP	gression	bution, the size of the public pension system, state
					ownership of insurance companies, the availability
					of private credit and religion.
46.Lee and	Swiss Re; World	1979-2007 36 coun-	Log-transformed	Panel smooth tran-	The life and non-life insurance premiums are inelas-
Chiu(2012)	Bank	tries	real insurance	sition regression	tic and elastic with respect to real income, suggesting
			premium	model	that they are a necessary good and luxury good re-
					spectively.
47.Kjosevski	Swiss Re; World	1998-2010 14	Life insurance pen-	Fixed-effects panel	Higher, GDP per capita, inflation, health expenditure,
(2012)	Bank	Countries in	etration and Life in-	model	level of education and rule of law are the most robust
		Eastern Europe	surance density		predictors of the use of life insurance.
48.Liebenberg	Triennial survey of	1983 - 1989 The	Purchase new term	Tobit regression	There are significant relationship between life events,
et al (2012)	consumer finance	United States of	and new whole life		such as new parenthood and the demand for life in-
		America	insurance		surance.
49.Mahdzan	Sample of 259 life	Malaysia	Life Insurance De-	Multiple regression	Demographic variables and saving motives were sig-
and Victo-	insurance policy		mand		nificantly related to life insurance demand. Financial
rian (2013)	holders in Kuala				literacy, however, was found to be insignificant in
	Lumpur				determining life insurance demand.

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
50.Ćurak et	Survey; 95 respon-	Croatia	The purchase of life	Chi-Square test	Age, education and employment impact life insur-
al (2013)	dents		insurance		ance demand of household in Croatia while gender,
					marital status and number of family members do not
					have statistically significant influence.
51.Sliwinski	Statistical Office of	1991 - 2005 Poland	Gross written pre-	Linear regression	Factors of an economic and financial nature strongly
et al (2013)	Poland		miums	model	stimulate the demand for life insurance. However,
					some results contradict the factor that includes vari-
					ables such as education level and social benefits.
52.Sen	Swiss Re publica-	1994 - 2008 12	Insurance penetra-	Two separate panel	The results suggest that income, financial depth, infla-
and Mad-	tions; IMF; World	selected Asian	tion, and Insurance	data regression	tion, the real interest rate and the youth dependency
heswaran	Bank	economies	density	models	ratio are significant determinants of life insurance
(2013)					consumption.
53.Lee et al	Swiss Re; World	1984 - 2009 39	Insurance demand	Panel smooth tran-	When political risk is lower, the elasticity decreases
(2013)	Bank	countries		sition regression	in countries with high-income, common law origin,
				model	and insurance activities permitted by banks, whereas
					a clear pattern cannot be identified in the case of
					financial risk.
54.Dragos	World Bank; Swiss	2001-2011	Insurance density	Fixed and random	Showed that urbanization influenced significantly the
(2014)	Re	17 Emerging		effects model	life insurance demand in Asia, but not in Europe.
		economies from			Also, education was found to be significant only for
		Asia and Europe			the non-life sector in both regions and income was
					non significant in Asia for non-life sector.
55. Yuan and	China Statistical	2000-2012 31	Insurance density	Panel data model	Level of income, level of education, dependency ratio
Jiang (2015)	Yearbook	provinces in			and inflation mainly affect the demand for life and
		Mainland China			non-life insurance.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
56.Millo	Isvap; Eurostat	1996 - 2001 103	Life insurance den-	Two-step maximum	The ratio of young dependents to people of work-
and Carmeci		Italian provinces	sity in euro per	likelihood	ing age captures the need for life protection, while
(2015)			capita		the substitution effect of Social Security payments.
					although showing the expected sign is not significant.
57.Alhassan	World Bank	1996 - 2010 31	Life insurance pen-	GMM instrumental	Demographic factors better explain life insurance
and Biekpe		African countries	etration	variables s	consumption compared to financial factors.
(2016)					
58.Zerriaa	Swiss Re; World	2000-2012 17 Mid-	Insurance density	Panel data regres-	Life insurance demand is lower in predominantly
and Noub-	Bank; IMF	dle East and North	and Insurance	sion models; Log-	Islamic countries.
bigh (2016)		Africa countries	penetration	linear models	
59.Ondruska	The survey in-	Slovak Republic	The ownership of	Classification tree	Age, education, savings and employment status are
et al (2016)	volved 870 respon-		the particular insur-	method	the most robust predictors of the life insurance con-
	dents		ance policy		sumption.
60.Luciano	Bank of Italy and	2012 6,973 Individ-	The willingness to	Probit model	Women are less likely to pay for an insurance con-
et al (2016)	Survey	ual observations	buy an insurance		tract than men, and financial proximity is a highly
			contract		significant factor explaining demand.
61.Zerriaa et	Swiss Re; World	1990 - 2014 Tunisia	Life insurance den-	Multiple regression	Pension expenditures have a negative effect on life
al (2017)	Bank; Tunis insur-		sity and Life insur-	log-linear model	insurance consumption confirming the substitution
	ance companies		ance penetration		by Social Security system for private insurance.
62.Dragos et	World Bank; Euro-	2002-2012 32 Euro-	Life insurance den-	Panel data analysis;	Government indicators are not effective on developed
al (2017)	stat; European Cen-	pean countries	sity	Log normal model	countries for life insurance buying demand while
	tral Bank				these indicators are positively influence developing
					countries.

Appendix 2: Life Insurance Demand Studies (Continued)

Author(s)			7	Period	and	Dependent		
(Year)		Data		Count	ries	Variable	Method	Findings
63.Lin et al	Taiwan	Finan-	201	0 22	cities	Purchased life in-	Logistic regression	Participant characteristics, such as age, gender, mar-
(2017)	cial S	Supervisory	in	Taiwan	2472	surance	model	ital status, working status and personal income are
	Commis	ssion	resp	ondents				also major factors affecting the demand for life insur-
								ance.

Appendix 3: Non-Life Insurance Demand Studies

Author(s) (Year)	Data	Period and Countries	Dependent Variable	Method	Findings
1.Beenstock et al (1988)	Swiss Re; World Bank	1970-1981 12 countries also 1981 45 countries	Life insurance demand	Ordinary least squares; Demand and supply system	Property-liability insurance is a superior good and is disproportionately represented in economic growth and premiums vary directly with real rates of interest.
2.Outreville (1990)	UNCTAD	1983 and 1984 55 Countries	The demand for property-liability insurance density	Ordinary least squares	The economic importance of the insurance sector is still low when considering the share of total premiums generated in developing countries. The external debt situation of the developing countries is likely to slow the pace of growth.
3.Outreville (1992)	Swiss Re; UNCTAD	1986 50 Countries	The property- liability insurance density	Ordinary least squares	The existence of local reinsurance services seems to play a positive and significant role in the retention capacity of the market.
4.Browne et al(2000)	OECD; World Bank; IMF	1987-1993 OECD Countries	Motor vehicle premium density; General liability premium density	Fixed-effects model and Pooled cross- sectional model	Income, wealth, the per- cent of a country's insurance market controlled by foreign firms and the form of the legal system in the country are significant on demand.
5.Esho et al(2004)	Swiss Re; World Bank	1984-1988 44 Countries	Real per capita property-liability insurance	GMM dynamic system	There are strong positive relationship between the protection of property rights and insurance consumption, which is robust to various model specifications and estimation techniques.
6.Nakata and Sawada(2007)	Swiss Re; Penn World Table	1994	Aggregate insurance demand premium	Linear regression model	Wealth elasticity of insurance demand is smaller than unity for the upper, middle and high wealth countries. In low wealth countries, the wealth elasticity of insurance demand is greater than unity.

app. p.18

Appendix 3: Non-Life Insurance Demand Studies(Continued)

Author(s)	D-4-	Period and	Dependent	M-4l J	Fig. 15
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
7.Arena(2008)	World Bank	1976-2004	Economic growth	GMM dynamic	Both life and non-life insurance have a positive and significant
		55 Countries		models	causal effect on economic growth. Non-life insurance has a larger
					effect in high income countries than in the developing ones.
8.Haiss and	European In-	1992-2005	Real GDP	Cross-country	Findings emphasis the impact of the real interest rate and the level
Sümegi(2008)	surance Fed-	29 European		panel data analysis	of economic development on the insurance-growth nexus.
	eration	countries			
9.Guo et	The U.S. Bu-	1990 - 2007	Insurance premi-	Multivariate struc-	Financial market shocks are the main driving force behind the
al(2009)	reau of Eco-	The USA	ums	tural vector error	recent increasing volatility in insurance premiums in the U.S. mar-
	nomic			correction model	ket, although real shocks originating from oil price and aggregate
					supply explain the behavior of insurance premiums well.
10.Zheng et	United Na-	1980-2007	Benchmark ratio of	Insurance growth	The relative growth level of the insurance industry in developed
al(2009)	tions; Swiss	95 countries	insurance penetra-	model	markets is still higher than that in developing markets, but in
	Re	and regions	tion		emerging markets and BRIC Countries are undergoing rapid de-
					velopment and has achieved a relatively high level.
11.Millo and	Italian Insur-	1998-2003	Insurance penetra-	Maximum likeli-	It finds a significant and positive influence of income and wealth
Carmeci(2011)	ance Author-	103 Italian	tion	hood, Random-	on insurance consumption, although both elasticities are much less
	ity	provinces		effect model	than one.
12.Feyen et	AXCO; IMF;	2000-2008	Life and non-life in-	Pooled OLS and	Non-life sector is affected by per capita income, population size,
al(2011)	World Bank	90 Countries	surance penetration	Dynamic panel re-	demographic structures, income distribution, the availability of
				gression	private credit and religion.
13.Lee and	Swiss Re;	1979-2007	Log-transformed	Panel smooth tran-	The life and non-life insurance premiums are inelastic and elastic
Chiu(2012)	World Bank	36 countries	real insurance	sition regression	with respect to real income, suggesting that they are a necessary
			premium	model	good and luxury good respectively.

Appendix 3: Non-Life Insurance Demand Studies(Continued)

Author(s)		Period and	Dependent		
(Year)	Data	Countries	Variable	Method	Findings
14.Lee et al	Swiss Re;	1984 - 2009	Insurance demand	Panel smooth transi-	The relationship between the income elasticity of insurance de-
(2013)	World Bank	39 countries		tion regression	mand and country risks is negatively same direction.
15.Dragos	World Bank;	2001- 2011	Insurance density	Fixed and random	Showed that urbanization influenced significantly the life insur-
(2014)	Swiss Re	17 Asia		effects model	ance demand in Asia, but not in Europe. Also, education was
		and Europe			found to be significant only for the non-life sector in both regions
		Emerging			and income was non-significant in Asia for non-life sector.
		economies			
16.Millo	Swiss Re;	1970-2010	Real per capita in-	Fixed effects model	World non-life insurance markets growth rate same with the gen-
(2016)	Penn World	95 Countries	surance consump-		eral economy.
	Tables; IMF		tion		

Appendix 4: Descriptive Statistics of Life and Non-life Insurance Demand for Developed and Developing Countries

		Life Insurance Demand										Non-Life Insurance Demand										
	Developed Countries						Developing Countries					Developed Countries						Developing Countries				
			Std.					Std.					Std.					Std.				
Variables	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max		
logprempc	494	2.792	.807	168	3.860	684	1.557	.844	351	3.435	520	2.811	.491	.995	3.668	720	1.814	.678	103	3.225		
logpremgdp	494	.349	.518	-1.502	1.140	684	187	.617	-1.653	1.160	520	.367	.189	363	.944	720	.062	.306	845	.849		
loggdppc	494	4.537	.301	3.696	5.073	684	3.859	.439	2.802	4.757	520	4.535	.300	3.696	5.073	720	3.860	.437	2.802	4.757		
int	494	4.309	6.044	-70.432	93.937	684	15.517	15.114	1.630	183.2	520	4.205	5.931	-70.432	93.937	720	15.365	15.042	1.630	183.2		
bankgdp	494	102.302	47.453	10.85	263.13	684	60.369	35.765	10.11	179.09	520	102.758	47.034	10.85	263.13	720	61.167	36.200	10.11	179.09		
urb	494	75.509	11.255	51.77	97.818	684	64.639	23.441	8.55	100	520	75.627	11.271	51.77	97.858	720	64.828	23.405	8.445	100		
femlab	494	44.664	2.583	36.762	49.644	684	36.258	9.014	13.093	49.563	520	44.729	2.562	36.762	49.644	720	36.327	9.020	13.093	49.563		
health	494	8.680	2.126	3.214	17.140	684	5.357	1.969	1.925	13.437												
depen	494	49.394	3.241	40.400	62.383	684	55.462	13.425	28.851	96.113												
pop	494	.383	.743	-3.820	2.890	684	1.694	1.263	-1.474	7.773												
lifeexp	494	78.212	3.195	69.004	83.587	684	71.382	6.670	45.877	82.495												

Appendix 5: Descriptive Statistics of Life and Non-life Insurance Demand for High-Income and Middle-Income Countries

					Life Insura	nce Dei	nand				Non-Life Insurance Demand										
	High-Income Countries						Middle-Income Countries					Hi	gh-Income	Countries		Middle-Income Countries					
			Std.					Std.					Std.					Std.			
Variables	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	N	Mean	Dev.	Min	Max	
logprempc	627	2.787	.690	.720	3.860	551	1.265	.698	351	2.894	660	2.786	.423	1.77	3.668	580	1.601	.595	103	2.531	
logpremgdp	627	.3385	.524	-1.099	1.140	551	304	.576	-1.653	1.160	660	.336	.224	434	.944	580	.023	.295	845	.691	
loggdppc	627	4.532	.244	3.978	5.073	551	3.697	.343	2.802	4.239	660	4.534	.243	3.978	5.073	580	3.699	.342	2.802	4.239	
int	627	6.164	8.049	-7.848	118.37	551	16.112	15.984	-70.432	183.2	660	6.009	7.906	-7.848	118.379	580	16.005	15.907	-70.432	183.2	
bankgdp	627	97.176	44.673	18.68	263.13	551	56.081	36.769	10.11	179.09	660	97.780	44.401	18.68	263.13	580	56.794	37.052	10.11	179.09	
urb	627	78.404	16.102	8.55	100	551	58.721	18.864	18.579	91.604	660	78.503	16.118	8.445	100	580	58.948	18.853	18.579	91.751	
femlab	627	41.973	7.270	13.180	49.644	551	37.290	8.478	13.093	49.563	660	42.044	7.288	13.180	49.644	580	37.354	8.459	13.093	49.563	
health	627	7.882	2.639	1.931	17.140	551	5.463	1.892	1.925	13.431											
depen	627	48.744	6.356	28.851	70.536	551	57.666	12.806	35.590	96.113											
pop	627	.980	1.383	-1.853	7.773	551	1.330	1.061	-3.820	7.061											
lifeexp	627	77.901	3.297	68.430	83.587	551	70.087	6.618	45.877	79.416											